

Green Paper on reform of the common fisheries policy

2009/2106(INI) - 27/01/2010

The Committee on Fisheries adopted the own-initiative report drafted by Maria do Céu PATRÃO NEVES (EPP, PT) on the Green Paper on the reform of the Common Fisheries Policy. The committee considers that the **current reform is crucial for the future of the European fishing industry** and that any failure to adopt and implement a radical reform could result in there being neither fish nor a fishing industry by the time of the next reform. It stresses that **the CFP reform process should be finalised at the beginning of 2011**, in order to ensure that it is duly taken into account in discussions on the forthcoming EU financial framework and that the reformed CFP is fully implemented. MEPs consider it necessary to establish a transitional period so that this reform of the CFP can be properly harmonised with the current framework of this common policy.

Specific aspects of the report can be summarised as follows:

Financial measures: MEPs consider that the financial resources to be negotiated within the framework of the new multiannual financial framework for 2014-2020 should include an increased CFP budget that will create the financial conditions required for the full implementation and practical development of the reform guidelines that have been adopted.

Protection and conservation of resources and scientific knowledge: MEPs consider that CFP commitments to reversing the economic and social consequences of reduced fishing possibilities as well as the high levels of pollution and greater international competition must be compatible with the long-term sustainability of the sector. The Commission is called upon to ensure that the CFP reform includes the measures adopted to **combat climate change** and provides for adequate funding to implement these measures. MEPs maintain that the abovementioned long-term sustainability of the sector will only come about within the context of a **decentralised fisheries policy**, with decisions being taken on the basis of what is most appropriate to the situations in individual fisheries and maritime regions. MEPs point to the need for greater investment at national and European level in applied **research and scientific knowledge in the fisheries field**.

Profitability and professional advancement: the report points out that the reform of the CFP should take account of the fact that the EU has decided that the exploitation of fish stocks should be managed by means of the objective of **maximum sustainable yield**, but stresses that this should be reconciled with a multispecies approach that takes into consideration the situation regarding all the species involved in a fishery and avoids the current approach of applying MSY stock by stock. Members stress how important the fishing industry is in the socio-economic situation, in employment and in promoting economic and social cohesion in the outermost regions (ORs). They recall that the Community's ORs are lagging behind in socio-economic terms. They urge the Commission to recognise the specific features of, and the differences between, the ORs and island regions, and to promote support measures geared to the biological and social sustainability of fisheries in those regions. MEPs also support the continuation of POSEI-Fisheries (scheme to compensate for the additional costs incurred in the marketing of certain fishery products from the outermost regions). The Commission is urged to draw up specific **Community programmes to support small-scale coastal and non-industrial fisheries and shell-fishing**.

The Commission and the Member States are urged to promote proper **training** for fishermen and skippers, including mandatory education schemes in 'best practice' in fishing. MEPs believe that all fishing and shell-fishing operators, men and women alike, need to be guaranteed easier access to European Union

financial instruments and accorded the same status in all Member States, so as to guarantee them social security cover and protection within the social welfare systems of each Member State. They stress that a strategy must be put in place to provide financial support to fishing professionals who, because fishing capacity has to be adjusted according to the availability of fish stocks, or to fish stock recovery plans, might see a decline in activity or might lose their job. MEPs call on the Commission to step up the **provision of information to consumers** on the origin and quality of fishery products and to draw up a specific **eco-labelling programme** with a view to enhancing the image of fishery products and promoting consumer health.

Management models, decentralisation, greater responsibility, and supervision: the report maintains that the management system for the fisheries sector must abandon the traditional top-down approach, laying emphasis instead on the principle of regionalisation and subsidiarity (horizontal decentralisation) without leading to regional discrimination or to disruption of the common implementation of fisheries policy, on the redefinition and increased flexibility of the principle of relative stability and on the participation of professionals in the sector and other stakeholders. It firmly **rejects any attempt to adopt a single Community fisheries management model**. MEPs urge the Commission to work for a separate, clearly defined, liberal and de-bureaucratised and simplified model for managing small-scale, coastal fisheries, where the European institutions set the overarching targets to be achieved by the Member States according to their own strategies. It is also urged to carefully examine the possibility of adopting new fisheries management models that are complementary to the TAC and quota system, for example in connection with fishing effort management and the use of transferable individual fishing rights.

Such arrangements would facilitate the introduction of the no-discards policy and enable the fleet to be adapted in a more flexible way, in line with the actual diversity and distribution of stocks. MEPs consider that voluntary schemes could be introduced for the use of transferable fishing rights for industrial fleets and any other segments that may be considered appropriate for this model, and that safeguard clauses should be included to guard against any possible excessive concentration of rights.

The committee takes the view that the greater the level of participation is, the clearer the objectives are and the more economic and social support is provided to those affected, the greater will be the understanding, acceptance and implementation of the various measures for managing fishery resources. It stresses the need to implement mechanisms for subsidising or compensating fishermen affected by the economic and social repercussions of multiannual recovery and management plans and ecosystem protection measures. In this context, the Regional Advisory Councils (RACs), as well as other stakeholders and the Community Fisheries Control Agency should be placed in a position, logistically and financially, to exercise their updated responsibilities effectively and to the full.

Management of Community fishing fleets: MEPs stress that the scope of the reform of the CFP should seek solutions that ensure a **stable and permanent balance between fishery resources and fleet capacity**. They urge the Commission to conduct a detailed and exhaustive survey on the size, characteristics, and spread of the current Community fleet, each category being defined according to sound criteria, so that there can be no discrimination between similar fleets or between fleets from different Member States operating in the same waters. Members also take the view that the present EFF and future structural funds for the fisheries sector must continue to support fleet renewal and modernisation, above all with regard to small-scale coastal and artisanal fishing. They are in favour of setting up a **Scrapping Fund** as an effective and short-term solution to the problems of overcapacity, with rules to avoid its use being blocked by the Member States.

Aquaculture and processed products: MEPs are convinced that a strong, high-quality aquaculture sector that is environmentally sustainable has the potential to boost growth in related sectors and to help promote development in coastal, offshore and rural areas. They consider that the protection and the competitiveness of Community aquaculture should be strengthened. They consider it necessary to introduce rules establishing **good market practices** and fair competition regarding fishing products from

outside the EU, excluding products which fall under regulations included in EU agreements with third parties. In addition, the Commission is called upon to: (i) to clearly define overcapacity and to ascertain the reasons for overcapacity; (ii) to examine specific mechanisms to support the development of such offshore salt-water fish farming; (iii) to present proposals that promote the search for new aquaculture species.

Markets and marketing of fish: MEPs hope that the Commission communication on the future of the current COM will be presented without further delay. They believe that an **urgent far-reaching revision of the COM in fisheries products should be carried out** in order to boost its contribution to guaranteeing earnings in the sector, ensuring market stability, improving the marketing of fisheries products and increasing the value added generated. In addition, the call for the compilation of a study providing a detailed analysis of the general situation concerning the concentration of demand in the fisheries products market, in order to check for the existence of market strategies that might breach competition rules and push down the prices for most species. The report highlights the need to create **market intervention mechanisms**, particularly in fisheries, in order to prevent the excessive concentration of fishing rights among a small number of operators (safeguard clauses). MEPs ask the Commission to analyse the possible impact and repercussions of reducing catches on the European market and the resultant import of substitutes from third countries to make up for market shortages. MEPs believe that everything possible should be done to avoid increasing the EU's already very heavy dependence on third-country imports for its supplies of fisheries and aquaculture products.

External relations: MEPs consider that CFP external action should be guided by the objective of defending Community fisheries interests in line with EU external policy. They state that schemes need to be devised for promoting fishery products coming from **environmentally sustainable and socially fair sources within and outside the EU**. Furthermore, they maintain that new fisheries agreements with **third countries** should be given an overall assessment, against criteria, as laid down by the European Parliament. MEPs believe that the **financial compensation** granted under fisheries agreements with third countries should be used to promote and develop the fisheries sector in those countries. Members consider that given the growing complexity of the situation, there is a need for an increase in DG MARE's human and material resources, and that the possibility of decentralising executive management to the Member States should be investigated.

Integrated Maritime Policy (IMP): Members consider that the **CFP requires a global approach to the management of fish stocks** and must be coordinated with environmental and development policies and the IMP. They believe that fishing activity should be suitably integrated and structured within a wider context of maritime activities such as maritime transport, marine tourism, offshore wind farms and aquaculture, and that it should be included in clusters of maritime activities. However, Members are convinced that **real integration of the CFP into the IMP requires political will** and that national, regional and local fishing entities are willing to enter into the necessary commitments. They stress that a CFP must not be subordinate to other Community policies. The report highlights the need to **earmark adequate financial resources for the IMP** and reiterates the principle that new priorities must be matched by new funding. It **rejects however the notion that the IMP should be financed from the EFF**.

Lastly, Members calls for the **12-nautical mile regime** to be permanent in nature given that it is one of the few areas in which the CFP has been relatively successful and where the Member States have had control.