

2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Ombudsman

2013/2203(DEC) - 05/09/2013

PURPOSE: to present the report of the Court of Auditors on the implementation of the budget for the financial year 2012 (Analysis of the accounts of the European Ombudsman).

CONTENT: the Court of Auditors published its 36th Annual Report on the implementation of the EU budget for the 2012 financial year.

In accordance with the tasks and objectives conferred on the Court of Auditors by the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, it provides under the discharge procedure, for both the European Parliament and Council, a statement of assurance (“DAS”) about the reliability of the accounts and the legality and regularity of the transactions of each institution, body or agency of the EU, based on an independent external audit.

In accordance with the tasks and objectives conferred on the Court of Auditors by the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, it provides under the discharge procedure, for both the European Parliament and Council, a statement of assurance (“DAS”) about the reliability of the accounts and the legality and regularity of the transactions of each institution, body or agency of the EU, based on an independent external audit.

The audit also focuses on the budget implementation of the European Ombudsman.

On the basis of its audit work, the Court considers that payments for “Administrative and other expenditure” policy are, overall, **significantly error-free**.

Although the Court has observed some errors and weaknesses, the examined supervisory and control systems are likely to reduce the rate of error present in initial payment requests to an acceptable level. These systems are therefore assessed as effective.

The main risks regarding administrative and other expenditure are:

- the non-compliance with the procedures for procurement;
- the implementation of contracts;
- recruitment issues;
- the calculation of salaries and allowances.

Overall audit evidence indicates that accepted expenditure is not affected by a material level of error.

The Court also made a number of comments specific to each institution or body of the European Union. In the case of the European Ombudsman’s audit, **the Court did not identify any significant weakness**.