

Financing the common security and defence policy

2014/2258(INI) - 21/05/2015 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted by 347 votes to 248 with 44 abstentions, a resolution on financing the Common Security and Defence Policy.

Parliament noted that the EU and its Member States were **major funders** of the various peace and crisis management operations throughout the world and acknowledged the importance of CSDP interventions for achieving peace. However, it is convinced that the EU cannot allow itself to focus exclusively on instruments for a post-crisis context or for supporting exit from crisis.

The resolution suggested unleashing the full potential of the Lisbon Treaty, and especially of its Article 44 on the implementation of a CSDP task by a group of Member States and Article 46 on permanent structured cooperation, with regard to a faster and more flexible use of the CSDP missions and operations.

Reach the goals set by the European Council: despite a combined yearly defence budget of some EUR 190 billion, the Member States are still unable to meet the 1999 Helsinki Headline Goals. Recalling the ambitious civilian headline goals set by the EU, Parliament called for the EU to be strengthened as a real actor in defence in the context of NATO. It regretted the lack of a doctrine which operationalises the tasks listed in Article 43 TEU (the expanded ‘Petersberg tasks’).

Members strongly advocated closer security and defence coordination and cooperation within a NATO context between Member States and at EU level, and in particular the pooling and sharing of resources, capabilities and assets.

The Commission is called upon to **carry out an analysis of security and defence challenges and requirements** as a matter of urgency.

Cost saving/efficiency-increasing initiatives: given the still significant delays in procuring essential equipment and services to the CSDP missions under the CFSP framework, the Commission was asked to mitigate these shortfalls by preparing **a specific template for the financial rules for civilian CSDP missions** and by adapting existing guidelines to their needs, in order to facilitate the rapid, flexible and more efficient conduct of missions, while guaranteeing sound financial management of EU resources and an adequate protection of the Union’s financial interests. Members took the view that the budget should be delegated to the Civilian Operation Commander.

Parliament:

- asked the Commission and Member States to conduct an **annual evaluation** of the overall costs of security and defence policies, including a **transparent presentation of procurement procedures**;
- encouraged the setting-up of a Shared Services Centre, together with an Integrated Resource Management System as a way to improve the speed of deployment and cost-efficiency of civilian missions;
- stressed the need for **adequate staffing** of missions in line with the various commitments made by Member States in this respect.

Coherence and complementarity: Members considers that the CSDP is part of the broader external CFSP dimension and of EU external action as a whole, as well as of the internal dimension of the

common market and industry, space, research and development policies. Therefore, Members suggested that CFSP resources should be used in a smarter way, notably through **enhanced coordination between CSDP instruments and the different EU funding programmes** managed by the Commission.

The resolution called for **better military-civilian synergies** where appropriate, and in particular for them to be taken into account at the beginning of the planning processes, notably in the areas of premises, medical services, logistics, transport and the security of missions, while respecting the different chains of command and clearly distinguishing between the natures, objectives and modes of functioning of civilian missions and military operations.

The Commission and the Council are called upon to set up permanent financial procedures:

- for the cooperation between the Commission, the EEAS, the EDA, the ESA and Member States in the fields of CSDP and common market, industry, space, research and development policies;
- to establish permanent financial rules to link EU actors from the areas of internal security (e.g. Frontex, Europol, ENISA) with external defence (e.g. EDA, EEAS).

Financing military operations: the European Council of December 2013 decided to examine the financial aspects of EU missions and operations, including the **review of the Athena mechanism**, in order to ensure procedures and rules that enable the Union to be faster, more flexible and efficient in the deployment of EU civilian missions and military operations.

Parliament stressed that Athena was crucial to the deployment of those operations and is an instrument of solidarity between Member States. It regretted, however, that the **actual proportion of the common costs remained very low** (estimated at approximately 10-15% of all costs) and that the high proportion of nation-borne costs and responsibilities in military operations based on the ‘costs lie where they fall’ principle was **counter to the principles of solidarity and burden-sharing**, and further deterred Member States from taking an active part in CSDP operations.

Consequently, Parliament called on the next European Council on Defence to consider a further **expansion of the common costs eligible under Athena**, such as the automatic financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment (infrastructure for the accommodation of forces, expenses relating to the establishment of points of entry for troops into theatres of operations and security stocks of food and fuel where necessary).

The Council was also asked to (i) initiate, during the current budget year, the setting-up of the **start-up fund** (Article 41(3) TEU) for the urgent financing of the initial phases of military operations; (ii) put forward a proposal on how in a crisis situation the consultation of Parliament could be carried out quickly.

Transparency and accountability: Members reiterated the importance attached by Parliament to exercising oversight over the way the different CSDP missions and operations were budgeted. They welcomed the commitment made by the VP/HR to breathe new life into the latter meetings and to introduce an appropriate degree of flexibility regarding their scope in order to **keep Parliament fully informed** on military missions and on the work and agenda of the Political and Security Committee.

Lastly, the resolution **encouraged the VP/HR to take on leadership** regarding the CSDP and to play a steering role in breaking down ‘silos’ by ensuring coordination between the Council, the Commission and the EEAS and guaranteeing coherence within the two latter bodies.