

Annual report 2014 on the protection of the EU's financial interests - Fight against fraud

2015/2128(INI) - 12/02/2016 - Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading

The Committee on Budgetary Control adopted the own-initiative report by Benedek JÁVOR (Greens /EFA, HU) on the Annual Report 2014 on the Protection of the EU's Financial Interests – Fight against fraud.

Members noted that **all the irregularities reported involve a total amount of around EUR 3.24 billion**. The overall financial impact of fraudulent and non-fraudulent irregularities reported in 2014 is **36 % greater than in 2013**, while the number of such irregularities increased by 48 %. EUR 2.27 billion of the reported irregularities relates to expenditure, representing 1.8 % of total payments.

The report noted with concern that the **amount of traditional own resources (TOR) affected by fraud in 2014 was 191 % higher than in 2013**, and the amount affected by non-fraudulent irregularities was 146 % higher in 2014 than in the previous year.

Faced with these issues, Members suggested the following measures:

Better reporting: despite the numerous calls from Parliament for the establishment of uniform reporting principles in all Member States, the situation remains highly unsatisfactory. The Commission has been urged to make serious efforts to **resolve the problem of differing approaches by Member States to detecting irregularities**, and non-homogeneous interpretations when applying the EU legal framework.

In particular, the Commission should:

- harmonise the framework for the reporting of '**suspected fraud**' and to establish rules on the reporting of all judicial action undertaken in the Member States in relation to potential fraudulent use of EU resources;
- develop a **system of strict indicators** and easily applicable, uniform criteria in order to measure the level of corruption. Corruption affects all Member States and costs the EU economy around **EUR 120 billion per year**;
- swiftly promote legislation on the minimum level of **protection for whistle-blowers** in the EU;
- maintain its strict policy on **interruption and suspension of payments**;
- implement Article 325 TFEU right across the spectrum of EU policies and for action not just in response to cases of fraud but also to prevent them.

Better controls: faced with the complex nature of irregularities, the Commission and the Member States must take **firm action** against fraudulent irregularities. Non-fraudulent irregularities should be tackled by means of **administrative measures**, and in particular through more transparent and simpler requirements, more technical assistance from the Commission to the Member States, and enhanced exchanges of good practices and lessons learned. The methodology for calculating error rates must be harmonised at EU and Member State level.

The report encouraged the Commission to further enhance its supervisory role through **audit, control and inspection activities**, remedial action plans and early warning letters.

Member States are called upon to intensify their efforts and to tap their potential to detect and correct errors prior to claiming reimbursement from the Commission.

Members stressed that the [European Public Prosecutor's Office regulation](#) should also be adopted swiftly, and demands that the Council explain its reasons for delaying the negotiation.

Public procurement: noting that the level of irregularities due to non-compliance with public procurement rules remains high, the report called on the Member States to transpose rapidly into national law [Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council](#) on public procurement, which makes e-procurement mandatory and introduces monitoring and reporting obligations to curb procurement fraud and other serious irregularities.

The Commission is called upon to:

- make it compulsory to publish all documentation relating to beneficiaries, and in particular to subcontractors;
- apply strictly the measures pertaining to discretion and exclusion in respect of public procurement, with proper background checks being carried out in every instance;
- apply the exclusion criteria in order to debar companies in the event of any conflict of interest, this being essential to protect the credibility of the institutions.

Performance-based budgeting and the ‘value for money’ approach: Members called on the Commission to adopt the planning, implementation and control phase of the multiannual financial framework in accordance with the principle of performance-based budgeting. The Commission should reinforce its activities in relation to applying efficiency and effectiveness indicators in all its programmes, and not to concentrate only on the error rate. It should start focusing also on the new triptych (ecology, equality and ethics).

Tobacco smuggling and counterfeit goods: Members are worried about the finding by the European Ombudsman that, with the exception of DG Health, the Commission was ‘not fully implementing UN WHO rules and guidelines governing **transparency and tobacco lobbying**’. They urged all the relevant EU institutions to implement Article 5(3) of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC).

Members welcomed the **successful outcomes of numerous joint customs operations** (JCOs) involving the cooperation of OLAF and Member States with various third-country services, which have resulted in the seizure of, inter alia, **1.2 million counterfeit goods**, including perfumes, vehicle spare parts, electronic devices and **130 million cigarettes**. They recalled that direct losses in customs revenue as a result of cigarette smuggling alone are estimated at more than EUR 10 billion a year.

In general, Members are very concerned about the increasing incidence of smuggling, trafficking and other forms of illegal and illicit trade, which are also strongly associated with organised international crime. In this regard, they called for **better coordination between OLAF, customs authorities and market surveillance authorities** in order not only to combat these problems but also to curb the trade in products that infringe intellectual property laws in the EU.

Lastly, the report noted **OLAF’s role** within different joint customs operations in preventing losses for the EU budget. OLAF is asked to include in its future annual reports more information and concrete figures concerning its contribution to protecting the revenue side of the EU budget.