Basic information

1995/2003(BUD) BUD - Budgetary procedure	Procedure completed
1996 budget: Parliament's estimates **Subject** 8.70.60 Previous annual budgets	

Key players

European Parliament	**Committee responsible**	**Rapporteur**	**Appointed**
	BUDG Budgets	MIRANDA Joaquim (GUE)	26/07/1994

Council of the European Union	**Council configuration**	**Meetings**	**Date**
	Budget	1866	1995-07-24
	Budget	1882	1995-11-17

Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
16/01/1995	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
15/05/1995	Vote in committee		
15/05/1995	Preparatory budgetary report tabled for plenary	A4-0110/1995	
17/05/1995	Debate in Parliament		
19/06/1995	Final act published in Official Journal		
24/07/1995	Debate in Council		
14/12/1995	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information

Procedure reference	1995/2003(BUD)
Procedure type	BUD - Budgetary procedure
Procedure subtype	Budgetary preparation
Legal basis	EC Treaty (before Amsterdam) E 203
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	BUDG/4/06371

Documentation gateway				
European Parliament				
Document type	**Committee**	**Reference**	**Date**	**Summary**
Preparatory budgetary report tabled for plenary		A4-0110/1995 OJ C 151 19.06.1995, p. 0014	15/05/1995	
Parliament's opinion on budgetary estimates/guidelines		T4-0269/1995 OJ C 151 19.06.1995, p. 0359-0388	19/05/1995	Summary

Additional information		
Source	**Document**	**Date**
European Commission	EUR-Lex	

1996 budget: Parliament's estimates

1995/2003(BUD) - 17/11/1995

Before the start of proceedings, the Council met a delegation from the European Parliament comprising: - Mr SAMLAND, Chairman of the Committee on Budgets, - Mr ELLES, Rapporteur for the 1996 budget (Commission section), - Mr MIRANDA, Rapporteur for the other sections of the 1996 budget, - Mr BRINKHORST, Mr COLOM i NAVAL, Mr DELL'ALBA, Mr DI PRIMA, Mr FABRE-AUBRESPY, Ms GHILARDOTTI, Mr ELLETT--BOWMAN, Mr KÖNIG, Ms MUELLER, Mr REHN, Mr TOMLINSON and Mr WYNN, Members of the Committee on Budgets. At the meeting, the European Parliament representatives outlined to the Council Parliament’s approach during its first reading of the 1996 draft budget on 24 October 1995. The Council emphasised certain aspects to which it attached particular importance, *inter alia*, the budgetary provisions for MEDA, the trans-European networks, the international fisheries agreements and the Community agencies set up following the 1993 European Council in Brussels . The exchange of views brought the positions of the two arms of the Budget Authority closer on several aspects. The Council then gave a second reading to the draft general budget of the European Communities for 1996. It adopted a position on all the proposed modifications and amendments voted by the European Parliament at first reading. As in previous financial years, the Council wanted rigorous budgetary discipline to continue to be the touchstone for the 1996 budget, bearing in mind the efforts Member States will have to make in their own national budgets. The Council thus emphasised the need to leave significant margins below the ceilings for financial perspective headings. The overall expenditure adopted by the Council at the end of its second reading of the 1996 draft budget amounted to (in million ECU - round figures): Commitment appropriations (C/A): 86 288.1 Payment appropriations (P/A) 81 592.9 including non-compulsory expenditure: (C/A) 42 872.1 (P/A) 38 168.9 The breakdown of the appropriations is as follows (in million ECU - round figures): C/A P/A Common agricultural policy 38 554.0 38 554.0 Other measures 2 274.0 2 274.0 Structural Funds 26 579.0 23 722.7 Other structural measures 108.0 108.0 Cohesion funds 2 444.0 1 919.0 Research 3 183.1 3 096.6 Other internal policies 2 015.4 1 839.4 External measures 5 173.6 4 121.9 Administrative expenditure: - Commission 2 674.4 2 674.4 - Other institutions 1 429.7 1 429.7 Monetary reserve 500.0 500.0 Emergency aid reserve 323.0 323.0 Reimbursements, guarantees, reserves 323.0 323.0 Compensations 701.0 701.0 The increases in NCE over the 1995 budget thus amount to 8,71% for commitment appropriations and 8,89% for payment appropriations. The Council instructed its President to forward the results of its second reading of the draft budget to the European Parliament. LETTER OF AMENDMENT The Council drafted a letter of amendment designed to adapt the budgetary structure of Section II (Council) of the 1996 draft general budget to the interinstitutional cooperation agreements reached in the field of administrative expenditure.

1996 budget: Parliament's estimates

1995/2003(BUD) - 19/05/1995 - Parliament's opinion on budgetary estimates/guidelines

In adopting the report by Mr Joaquim MIRANDA (United Left, P), Parliament adopted its budget estimates for the 1996 financial year with ECU 762 439 8000 for expenditure and ECU 51 857 000 for revenue. It also provided for a reemployment envelope of around ECU 1 701 000. During the debate, Mr Terry Wynn and Mr John Tomlinson (Labour, UK) again raised the problem of the costs resulting from the scattered work places of the EP. Maintaining the Secretariat in Luxembourg and holding the meetings in Strasbourg would account for around 20% of the 1996 budget. To this end, the EP called on the Secretary-General to submit a report before the first reading of the draft budget for 1996 assessing the method of operation and organisation of the services concerned, placing particular emphasis on supplies and maintenance control, the management of equipment and the maintenance of buildings together with the relevant financial implications, on a multiannual basis. Members also called for greater transparency in the running of the institution. Mr ANASTASSOPOULOS (PPE, G) stressed the need to bring Parliament closer to the people by improving the institution's information

policy. Finally, the EP recalled in its resolution its position on parliamentary assistants employed in Brussels, pointing out that they should be covered by the Belgian social security and tax systems. This should include a mechanism offsetting the differential between Belgian tax and social security liability and the average tax and social security liability in the Member States of the Union for this type of job.