Basic information

1995/2060(INI) INI - Own-initiative procedure	Procedure completed
Budgetary aspects of comitology **Subject** 8.40.10 Interinstitutional relations, subsidiarity, proportionality, comitology	

Key players

European Parliament

Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
BUDG Budgets	WYNN Terence (PSE)	24/07/1994

Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
AGRI Agriculture and Rural Development		
ENER Research, Technological Development and Energy	SCAPAGNINI Umberto (FE)	12/04/1995
JURI Legal Affairs, Citizens' Rights		
REGI Regional Policy		
DEVE Development and Cooperation		
INST Institutional Affairs		
PECH Fisheries		

Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
15/05/1995	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
18/07/1995	Vote in committee		Summary
18/07/1995	Committee report tabled for plenary	A4-0189/1995	
24/10/1995	Debate in Parliament		Summary

26/10/1995	End of procedure in Parliament		
20/11/1995	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1995/2060(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 55
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	BUDG/4/06585

Documentation gateway

European Parliament

Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A4-0189/1995 OJ C 269 16.10.1995, p. 0010	18/07/1995	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T4-0517/1995 OJ C 308 20.11.1995, p. 0098-0133	26/10/1995	Summary

Budgetary aspects of comitology

1995/2060(INI) - 26/10/1995 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted the report by Mr Terence WYNN (PSE, UK) on the Commission's response to its request for information on comitology financed under budget lines A-2510 and A-2511. It started by welcoming the Commission's rapid response but noted the inconsistent quality of the reports on comitology. It therefore assumed that internal information within the Commission was patchy and not centralized, thereby hampering supervision of the activities of the committees and undermining the transparency demanded of the Commission in the implementation of its executive powers. It therefore called for the Commission to improve its internal procedures in this area for all executive committees and requested that the Commission forward the relevant comitology details to it (especially meeting dates, content of opinions and references to legislative texts). Parliament also recommended that a schedule of public meetings of the executive committees be drawn up and a regularly updated public register be introduced in which committee members could register their interests. Finally, it called for a statement to be inserted in the paragraph of the legislative proposal on comitology and the setting up of committees making these committees public meeting places (unless a specific decision is taken to the contrary) and calling on the committees to publish their agendas (two weeks in advance) and their minutes. It called for comparable information on committees not financed under lines A-2510 and A-2511 (mainly in the research sector). Finally, it called for: . the principles agreed in the modus vivendi on codecision procedures to be extended to all legislative procedures; . information to be transmitted promptly and therefore for the financial allocation provided for comitology to be entered in the reserve for the 1996 budget.