Basic information

1998/0044(CNS) CNS - Consultation procedure Regulation	Procedure completed
Agricultural prices 1998/1999: premiums for leaf tobacco **Subject** 3.10.06.09 Industrial plants, tobacco, hops	

Key players

European Parliament

Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
AGRI Agriculture and Rural Development	DES PLACES Edouard C.M. P. (I-EDN)	22/01/1998

Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
BUDG Budgets	GIANSILY Jean-Antoine (UPE)	17/03/1998
RELA External Economic Relations	SONNEVELD Jan (PPE)	23/04/1998
ENVI Environment, Public Health and Consumer Protection		
DEVE Development and Cooperation		

Council of the European Union

Council configuration	Meetings	Date
Agriculture and Fisheries	2110	1998-06-22
Agriculture and Fisheries	2115	1998-07-20

Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
08/02/1998	Legislative proposal published	COM(1998)0051	Summary
09/03/1998	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
02/06/1998	Vote in committee		

02/06/1998	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	A4-0216/1998	
16/06/1998	Debate in Parliament		
20/07/1998	Act adopted by Council after consultation of Parliament		
20/07/1998	End of procedure in Parliament		
28/07/1998	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information

Procedure reference	1998/0044(CNS)
Procedure type	CNS - Consultation procedure
Procedure subtype	Legislation
Legislative instrument	Regulation
Legal basis	EC Treaty (before Amsterdam) E 000
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed

Documentation gateway

European Parliament

Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading		A4-0216/1998 OJ C 210 06.07.1998, p. 0007	02/06/1998	
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading		T4-0346/1998 OJ C 210 06.07.1998, p. 0021-0065	16/06/1998	Summary

European Commission

Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
Legislative proposal	COM(1998)0051	08/02/1998	Summary

Other institutions and bodies

Institution/body	Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
EESC	Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report	CES0636/1998 OJ C 214 10.07.1998, p. 0067	29/04/1998	

Additional information

Source	Document	Date
European Commission	EUR-Lex	

Final act
Regulation 1998/1632 OJ L 210 28.07.1998, p. 0015 Summary

Agricultural prices 1998/1999: premiums for leaf tobacco

1998/0044(CNS) - 20/07/1998 - Final act

Agricultural prices 1998/99 - Tobacco. COMMUNITY MEASURE: Council Regulation (EC) 1632/98. SUBSTANCE: The Council decided to keep the premiums for the 1998 harvest at the same levels as in 1997 for each of the eight groups of varieties.

Agricultural prices 1998/1999: premiums for leaf tobacco

1998/0044(CNS) - 16/06/1998 - Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading

In adopting the report by Mr Edouard des PLACES (I-EDN, F) the European Parliament tabled only a few amendments to the Commission's proposals for the prices of agricultural products (1998-1999). The European Parliament called for an adjustment coefficient in order to compensate for the possible disappearance of the green rate on 1 January 1999 for the Member States participating in the single currency. Concerning tobacco, it calls for a price increase for three varieties (groups VI, VII and VIII) produced in southern Europe. While these groups produce high quality tobacco, they also have low yields and require more labour.

Agricultural prices 1998/1999: premiums for leaf tobacco

1998/0044(CNS) - 08/02/1998 - Legislative proposal

Agricultural Prices 1998/99 - Tobacco A Council Regulation extends the present market organisation arrangements for tobacco by a year (1988). Reform, as proposed in January 1998, would be implemented from the 1999 harvest onwards. As regards the 1998 harvest, it should be pointed out that the guarantee thresholds (quotas) were already fixed by the Council some time ago. The Commission proposes to maintain the premium at the same level as 1997 for each of the eight variety groups. In fact, experience shows that the current distribution of the premia is generally correct, in view of the fact that those varieties with a higher market demand are currently produced up to the level of quotas and that no overshooting of the quota was observed.