


Basic information	
2005/2139(INI) INI - Own-initiative procedure Non-proliferation of arms of mass destruction: a role for the European Parliament Subject 6.10.03 Armaments control, non-proliferation nuclear weapons	Procedure completed

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<div style="border: 1px solid red; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">AFET</div> Foreign Affairs	KRISTOVSKIS Ģirts Valdis (UEN)	01/02/2005
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meetings	Date
	General Affairs	2700	2005-12-12

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
08/09/2005	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
04/10/2005	Vote in committee		Summary
12/10/2005	Committee report tabled for plenary	A6-0297/2005	
17/11/2005	Decision by Parliament	T6-0439/2005	Summary
17/11/2005	Results of vote in Parliament		
17/11/2005	End of procedure in Parliament		
12/12/2005	Debate in Council		Summary

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2005/2139(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 55
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	AFET/6/27801

Documentation gateway				
European Parliament				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Amendments tabled in committee		PE362.701	19/09/2005	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A6-0297/2005	12/10/2005	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T6-0439/2005	17/11/2005	Summary
European Commission				
Document type		Reference	Date	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		SP(2005)5015	15/12/2005	

Non-proliferation of arms of mass destruction: a role for the European Parliament

2005/2139(INI) - 12/12/2005

The Council approved a series of documents dealing with implementation of the EU's strategy against the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction:

1) Progress report and updated list of priorities: the Council endorsed a six-monthly progress report on the implementation of the EU strategy against the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and an updated list of priorities for its implementation in the future. This progress report has been established following coordination between the office of the high representative's personal representative for non-proliferation of WMD and the Commission;

2) Joint action on support for OCPW (Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons) activities: the Council adopted a joint action on support for the Organisation for the prohibition of chemical weapons (OPCW) activities in the framework of implementation of the EU strategy against the proliferation of WMD, which will expire one year after its adoption. The EU will support activities of the OPCW with the following objectives:

- promotion of universality of the chemical weapons convention (CWC);
- support for full implementation of the CWC by states parties;
- international cooperation in the field of chemical activities, as accompanying measures to the implementation of the CWC.

A financial contribution is set at EUR 1 697 000 and will be funded from the 2006 general budget of the EU.

3) Peer review of Member States' export control systems for dual use goods: the Council took note of a report on progress made in 2005 to implement the recommendations of the peer review of Member States' export control systems for dual use goods. Since export controls on sensitive items are a key element in the fight against proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, the EU strategy against the proliferation of WMD adopted by the European Council in December 2003 called for a peer review of Member States' export controls. The peer review was conducted in 2004 and it produced a number of recommendations for further action both at national and EU level.

Non-proliferation of arms of mass destruction: a role for the European Parliament

2005/2139(INI) - 17/11/2005 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted a resolution based on the own-initiative report drafted by Girts Valdis **KRISTOVSKIS** (UEN, LV), and issued an urgent call for effective measures to combat the spread of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD). Parliament stated that we are now entering a new and dangerous period that raises the possibility of a WMD arms race, especially in the Middle East, North-East Asia and South Asia. On the risks of proliferation, Parliament rejected the development of new-generation nuclear weapons (so-called mini-nukes or bunker-busting bombs) and changes in nuclear doctrines and called on the US administration to permanently stop such developments. It welcomed the willingness of the US Senate and Congress not to make available any further funding for the development of mini-nukes. It strongly believed that nuclear disarmament activity will contribute significantly to international security and reduce the risk of thefts of plutonium by terrorists.

European Union WMD policy:Parliament stressed that the priorities for the EU should be:

- continuation of political commitment at the international level to promote implementation of the EU WMD Strategy;
- greater emphasis on disarmament initiatives as well as non-proliferation issues;
- strengthening of the multilateral treaties making up the non-proliferation regimes;
- emphasis on non-proliferation and risks associated with the use of WMD by terrorists;
- provision of the financial resources needed to implement the EU WMD Strategy.

EU practice of establishing clauses on non-proliferation of WMD: Parliament welcomed the inclusion of clauses concerning non-proliferation of WMD in the latest European Union agreements with third countries and action plans, but pointed out that such measures must be strictly implemented by all the Union's partners without exception. All states which have a cooperation agreement with the EU, and nuclear-weapons states in particular, are called upon not to provide other states, especially states that are not parties to the NPT, with any assistance or encouragement in the acquisition of nuclear weapons or other nuclear devices which they may seek. Parliament recommended to this end that a toolbox of elements be prepared in close collaboration by the Council, the Commission and the Parliament to support any third country that requests assistance in this area. It went on to recall current treaties banning the military exploitation of outer space and called upon the EU and the Member States to explore the possibility of negotiating additional outer space agreements, in order to ensure the peaceful exploration and use of space and to prevent any arms race in outer space.

Strengthening effective multilateralism:Parliament noted that, as stated by Dr Hans Blix, the credible threat of the use of force has played an important role in facilitating the disarmament work of UNSCOM and UNMOVIC. It is essential that no military strategy becomes detached from the disarmament strategy in any given crisis, in order to avoid any premature expulsion of international verification teams, which remain one of the most important verification instruments. Parliament underlined that nuclear weapons are for deterrence purposes and reiterated that there would be no winners in a nuclear war.

Traditional and emerging nuclear powers:Parliament called on the nuclear-weapons States under the NPT - with particular emphasis on NATO members UK, France and USA - to make significant steps towards the elimination of their nuclear arsenals in accordance with their undertakings, thereby strengthening their moral authority and credibility. It called again on Israel, India and Pakistan to become States Parties to the NPT. It emphasised the need for stronger regional and multilateral security arrangements in the Middle East, the Indian subcontinent and North-East Asia in order to reduce the pressure towards nuclear proliferation and to achieve the abandonment of related programmes. Furthermore, the EU must work with its international partners to develop and promote a WMD-free zone in the Middle East. In addition, Parliament:

-recommended that the EU attach the utmost importance to effective implementation of the nuclear non-proliferation regime in the Mediterranean, since security in Europe is inherently linked to security in that region;

-called on Iran to take all necessary steps to restore the international community's confidence and trusted that Iran can responsibly and transparently develop a civilian nuclear energy programme, inter alia, by meeting in full its commitments, notwithstanding its rights, under the NPT, fully cooperating with the IAEA, and re-establishing suspension of all aspects of its uranium enrichment-related activities, including tests and production at the uranium conversion facility at Isfahan;

-expressed extremely deep concern that on 10 February 2005 North Korea declared its possession of nuclear weapons and urged North Korea to rejoin the NPT, to revoke its decision to withdraw from the six-party talks and to allow the resumption of negotiations. Parliament similarly urged both North Korea and the United States to seek a speedy resolution of the current crisis, the first step being a United States offer to recommence heavy fuel oil supplies in exchange for the verified freezing of the Yongbyon plant.

Results and development of 2005 NPT Review Conference:Parliament expressed disappointment over both the outcome of, and the role played by the Member States at, the 2005 NPT Review Conference. The Member States, the Council and the Commission were asked to pursue in good faith the 41 measures contained in the Common Position, and in this connection, Parliament called on the United States to abandon its negative attitude to the NPT. France and the United Kingdom in particular must reinvigorate their pursuit of the 13 disarmament steps and engage with the United States, Russia and China, who also committed themselves to the process at the end of the 2000 NPT Review Conference. Parliament went on to underline that under no circumstances should any state start or resume explosive nuclear testing. Everything possible must be done by the EU and by NATO to persuade the US administration to give up its resistance on this issue.

A role for the European Parliament:Parliament recalled the positive contribution that can be made by the European Parliament in addressing common security concerns on non-proliferation and disarmament in its capacity as a budgetary authority. In the framework of the discussions on the Financial Perspectives 2007-2013, it proposed a review of the existing Interinstitutional Agreement of 6 May 1999 and the establishment of a specific budget line within the Union's budget to finance all activities regarding WMD issues, irrespective of whether they fall within the Community or CFSP framework, whilst respecting their respective decision-making processes as well as the competences of the Council, the Commission and the Personal Representative.