





Basic information	
<b>2006/0256(CNS)</b> CNS - Consultation procedure Regulation	Procedure completed
Cereals: common organisation of the market CMO  Amending Regulation (EC) No 1784/2003 <a href="#">2003/0008(CNS)</a>  <b>Subject</b>  3.10.03 Marketing and trade of agricultural products and livestock 3.10.06.03 Cereals, rice	

Key players				
European Parliament	<b>Committee responsible</b>		<b>Rapporteur</b>	<b>Appointed</b>
	<b>AGRI</b> Agriculture and Rural Development		GLATTFELDER Béla (PPE-DE)	19/12/2006
	<b>Committee for opinion</b>		<b>Rapporteur for opinion</b>	<b>Appointed</b>
	<b>BUDG</b> Budgets		The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
Council of the European Union	<b>Council configuration</b>		<b>Meetings</b>	<b>Date</b>
	Agriculture and Fisheries		2797	2007-05-07
	Agriculture and Fisheries		2806	2007-06-11
	Agriculture and Fisheries		2777	2007-01-29
European Commission	<b>Commission DG</b>		<b>Commissioner</b>	
	Agriculture and Rural Development		FISCHER BOEL Mariann	

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
15/12/2006	Legislative proposal published	<a href="#">COM(2006)0755</a> 	<a href="#">Summary</a>
18/01/2007	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
29/01/2007	Debate in Council		<a href="#">Summary</a>
12/04/2007	Vote in committee		<a href="#">Summary</a>

16/04/2007	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	<a href="#">A6-0141/2007</a>	
07/05/2007	Debate in Council		
23/05/2007	Debate in Parliament		
24/05/2007	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T6-0208/2007</a>	<a href="#">Summary</a>
24/05/2007	Results of vote in Parliament		
11/06/2007	Act adopted by Council after consultation of Parliament		
11/06/2007	End of procedure in Parliament		
29/06/2007	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
<b>Procedure reference</b>	2006/0256(CNS)
<b>Procedure type</b>	CNS - Consultation procedure
<b>Procedure subtype</b>	Legislation
<b>Legislative instrument</b>	Regulation
<b>Amendments and repeals</b>	Amending Regulation (EC) No 1784/2003 <a href="#">2003/0008(CNS)</a>
<b>Legal basis</b>	EC Treaty (after Amsterdam) EC 037-p2
<b>Stage reached in procedure</b>	Procedure completed
<b>Committee dossier</b>	AGRI/6/44135

Documentation gateway				
<b>European Parliament</b>				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee draft report		<a href="#">PE384.235</a>	06/03/2007	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE386.524</a>	23/03/2007	
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading		<a href="#">A6-0141/2007</a>	16/04/2007	
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading		<a href="#">T6-0208/2007</a>	24/05/2007	<a href="#">Summary</a>
<b>European Commission</b>				
Document type	Reference	Date	Summary	
Legislative proposal	<a href="#">COM(2006)0755</a> 	15/12/2006	<a href="#">Summary</a>	
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	<a href="#">SP(2007)3179</a>	14/06/2007		

Additional information

Source	Document	Date
National parliaments	IPEX	
European Commission	EUR-Lex	

Final act
<a href="#">Regulation 2007/0735</a> <a href="#">OJ L 169 29.06.2007, p. 0006</a> <span style="float: right;"><a href="#">Summary</a></span>

## Cereals: common organisation of the market CMO

2006/0256(CNS) - 29/01/2007

The Council took note of Commissioner Fischer Boel's presentation on the abolition of maize intervention and held an exchange of views on this matter.

Several Member States disagreed with the proposed abolition of the intervention system, which they consider necessary for market stability. They invited the Commission to postpone any decision at least until the effects on the market of the changes adopted in 2006 on maize quality requirements, had been gauged.

The Council invited the Special Committee on Agriculture to continue the examination of the proposal and to report to the Council on this matter.

Having noted that there was not a majority in favour of the proposal at this stage, Commissioner Fisher Boel indicated that the situation had changed dramatically since the accession of the new EU Member States. She took note of the worries expressed by some Member States and mentioned that the other proposal on financing intervention was intended to limit the impact on such regions (See: [CNS/2007/0005](#)), pointing out that it was necessary to change the system and indicated that she would co-operate with the Presidency to explore alternatives to abolition.

## Cereals: common organisation of the market CMO

2006/0256(CNS) - 11/06/2007 - Final act

**PURPOSE:** to amend Council Regulation (EC) 1784/2003 relating to the common organisation of the market in cereals with a view to the discontinuation of the use of intervention arrangements for maize.

**LEGISLATIVE ACT:** Council Regulation (EC) No 735/2007 of 11 June 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 1784/2003 on the common organisation of the market in cereals

**CONTENT:** the Council adopted a regulation introducing a ceiling on the quantities of maize eligible for intervention. Cyprus and Hungary abstained with Bulgaria, Denmark and Greece voting against.

The legal instrument for intervention is maintained pending the review of the functioning of the cereals common market organisation in the framework of the 2008 "health check" of the common agricultural policy (CMO).

The ceilings for Community intervention of maize are set as follows:

- 1.5 million tonnes for the 2007/2008 marketing year;
- 0.7 million tonnes for the 2008/02009 marketing year;
- 0 tonnes, as from the 2009/2010 marketing year.

The Commission issued a statement concerning the monitoring of the maize situation, the review of the cereals CMO and the possibility of increasing intervention quantities should market conditions require it. Poland also issued a statement.

**ENTRY INTO FORCE:** 02/07/2007. The Regulation will be applicable from the 2007/2008 marketing year.

## Cereals: common organisation of the market CMO

2006/0256(CNS) - 24/05/2007 - Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading

The European Parliament adopted a resolution on the common organisation of the market in cereals. It departed from the position advocated by rapporteur, Béla **Glattfelder** (EPP-ED, HU) and the Agriculture Committee, which wanted to reject the Commission's proposal. Parliament instead voted for a package of amendments tabled by Socialist, Liberal and Green MEPs seeking to reduce public buying-in of maize gradually over a three-year period.

MEPs believe the sudden abolition of the intervention scheme as of this year would hit the new Member States hard, when spring sowing has already begun. They propose to cap the amounts of maize bought into intervention at 2 million tonnes for 2007/2008, 1 million tonnes in 2008/2009 and zero tonnes from 2009/2010.

Parliament also calls on the Commission to present by 31 December 2008 a report evaluating trends in the maize market, the economic situation of the sector and the possible abolition or extension of the intervention scheme, accompanied by fresh legislative proposals if appropriate.

## Cereals: common organisation of the market CMO

2006/0256(CNS) - 15/12/2006 - Legislative proposal

PURPOSE: to discontinue the use of intervention arrangements for maize.

PROPOSED ACT: Council Regulation.

BACKGROUND: the common market for cereals is set out in Council Regulation 1784/2003/EC on the common organisation of the market in cereals. The EU intervention system for cereals is a single floor price of EUR 101.31 per tonne and is applicable to all major cereals across the EU – including maize.

CONTENT: the purpose of this proposal is to remove maize from the intervention scheme from Regulation 1784/2003/EC, from 2007/08 onwards.

The reasons for doing so are five-fold:

- Firstly, the Commission is concerned that farmers in certain regions of the Community will continue to grow maize for intervention purposes only and, as a result, public stocks will continue to rise unnecessarily. The Commission estimates that by the end of 2013 public stocks of maize could, unless something is done, amount to 15.6 Mt.
- Secondly, and in accordance with recent CAP reforms, the Commission believes that maize farmers need to become more commercially minded and less dependant on public intervention schemes. Experience shows that removing rye from intervention mechanisms, in 2003, has made it a more dynamic, competitive and market-orientated cereal sector. Moreover, farmers have been receiving better prices.
- Thirdly, possible outlets for the soaring intervention stocks of maize are limited. International maize prices are the lowest of all major cereals and resale on the international market would be costly.
- Fourthly, the disposal of intervention stocks on the internal market is constrained by high transport costs between surplus and deficit areas.
- Fifthly, the long term storage of maize entails a number of difficulties. During storage, the quality of maize declined rapidly. The same can not be said of other cereals such as wheat or barley.

The impact of the proposal on maize farmers would be that:

- the production of maize would not decline significantly;
- maize grown in the surplus Central European regions will regain its competitiveness (both domestically and on world markets);
- the overall level of intervention stocks would diminish substantially;
- stocks would consist exclusively of cereals suitable for long-term storage (soft wheat and barley); and
- the long-term storage solutions would almost certainly be better located for trading purposes.

To complement this proposal, the Commission is submitting a proposed temporary amendment of financing conditions under the EAGF, for the cost of funds mobilised by the Member States for storage operations. This measure will offer some alleviation for the additional costs incurred by the Member States with very high interest rates.

In presenting this proposal the Commission is fulfilling the requirement to offer a simpler and more effective regulatory framework and as such the proposal should be viewed as a contribution towards the simplification of the CAP.

**For a more detailed assessment of the budgetary implications of this proposal, please refer to the financial statement.**