

## Basic information

2006/2603(RSP)

RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects

Resolution on European contract law

### Subject

4.60.06 Consumers' economic and legal interests

7.40.02 Judicial cooperation in civil and commercial matters

Procedure completed

## Key players

European Commission

Commission DG

Commissioner

Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union

MCCREEVY Charlie

## Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
05/09/2006	Debate in Parliament		
07/09/2006	Decision by Parliament	T6-0352/2006	Summary
07/09/2006	Results of vote in Parliament		
07/09/2006	End of procedure in Parliament		

## Technical information

Procedure reference

2006/2603(RSP)

Procedure type

RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects

Procedure subtype

Debate or resolution on oral question/interpellation

Legal basis

Rules of Procedure EP 142-p5

Stage reached in procedure

Procedure completed

## Documentation gateway

### European Parliament

Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Oral question/interpellation by Parliament		<a href="#">B6-0326/2006</a>	04/09/2006	
Motion for a resolution		<a href="#">B6-0464/2006</a>	05/09/2006	
Text adopted by Parliament, topical subjects		<a href="#">T6-0352/2006</a>	07/09/2006	Summary

---

# Resolution on European contract law

2006/2603(RSP) - 07/09/2006 - Text adopted by Parliament, topical subjects

The European Parliament adopted a joint resolution on European contract law, reiterating its conviction that a uniform internal market could not be fully functional without further steps towards the harmonisation of civil law, and the initiative on European contract law was the most important initiative under way in the field of civil law. The Commission was asked continuously to involve Parliament in the work on the CFR.

Parliament strongly supported an approach for a wider CFR on general contract law issues going beyond the consumer protection field. It underlined that, besides the work on revision of the consumer acquis, the work on a wider CFR should go on, and asked the Commission to proceed with the project for a wider CFR. Parliament emphasised that even though the final purpose and legal form of the CFR was not yet clear, the work on the project should be done well, taking into account the fact that the final long-term outcome could be a binding instrument. Hence, all the various possible options for the purpose and legal form of a future instrument should be kept open.

Finally, Parliament called on the Commission to take into account the long-term perspective of a CFR when presenting new legislative proposals.