

## Basic information

2007/2095(INI)

INI - Own-initiative procedure

Better Regulation in the European Union

### Subject

8.50.01 Implementation of EU law

8.50.02 Legislative simplification, coordination, codification

Procedure completed

## Key players

European  
Parliament

### Committee responsible

JURI

Legal Affairs

### Rapporteur

LÉVAI Katalin (PSE)

### Appointed

18/12/2006

### Committee for opinion

AFET

Foreign Affairs

### Rapporteur for opinion

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

DEVE

Development

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

INTA

International Trade

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

BUDG

Budgets

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

CONT

Budgetary Control

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

ECON

Economic and Monetary Affairs

HÖKMARK Gunnar (PPE-DE)

24/01/2007

EMPL

Employment and Social Affairs

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

ENVI

Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

OUZKÝ Miroslav (PPE-DE)


27/03/2007



ITRE

Industry, Research and Energy


The committee decided not to give an opinion.

	<b>IMCO</b> Internal Market and Consumer Protection	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>TRAN</b> Transport and Tourism	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>REGI</b> Regional Development	<a href="#">ATTWOOLL Elspeth (ALDE)</a>	12/04/2007
	<b>AGRI</b> Agriculture and Rural Development	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>PECH</b> Fisheries	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>CULT</b> Culture and Education	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>LIBE</b> Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>AFCO</b> Constitutional Affairs	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>FEMM</b> Women's Rights and Gender Equality	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	<b>PETI</b> Petitions	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
Council of the European Union	<b>Council configuration</b>	<b>Meetings</b>	<b>Date</b>
	Competitiveness (Internal Market, Industry, Research and Space)	2801	2007-05-21
European Commission	<b>Commission DG</b>	<b>Commissioner</b>	
	Legal Service	BARROSO José Manuel	

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
14/11/2006	Non-legislative basic document published	<a href="#">COM(2006)0689</a> 	Summary

26/04/2007	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
21/05/2007	Debate in Council		<a href="#">Summary</a>
26/06/2007	Vote in committee		<a href="#">Summary</a>
02/07/2007	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A6-0273/2007</a>	
03/09/2007	Debate in Parliament		
04/09/2007	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T6-0363/2007</a>	<a href="#">Summary</a>
04/09/2007	Results of vote in Parliament		
04/09/2007	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
<b>Procedure reference</b>	2007/2095(INI)
<b>Procedure type</b>	INI - Own-initiative procedure
<b>Procedure subtype</b>	Strategic initiative
<b>Legal basis</b>	Rules of Procedure EP 55
<b>Stage reached in procedure</b>	Procedure completed
<b>Committee dossier</b>	JURI/6/48555

Documentation gateway				
<b>European Parliament</b>				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE388.729</a>	16/05/2007	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">ENVI</a>	<a href="#">PE388.425</a>	06/06/2007	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">ECON</a>	<a href="#">PE388.546</a>	06/06/2007	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">REGI</a>	<a href="#">PE388.354</a>	25/06/2007	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A6-0273/2007</a>	02/07/2007	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		<a href="#">T6-0363/2007</a>	04/09/2007	<a href="#">Summary</a>
<b>European Commission</b>				
Document type	Reference	Date	Summary	
Non-legislative basic document	<a href="#">COM(2006)0689</a> 	14/11/2006	<a href="#">Summary</a>	

## Better Regulation in the European Union

2007/2095(INI) - 04/09/2007 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted a resolution based on the own-initiative report drawn up by Katalin **LEVAI** (PES, HU) on Better Regulation in the EU. It expressed its strong support for the objective of ensuring that the regulatory environment is necessary, simple and effective, but stressed that such a process should be fully transparent and based on the full involvement of the European Parliament with public scrutiny and wide and open consultation of experts and all the relevant stakeholders, including non-governmental organisations. Parliament also wanted the creation of an impact assessment board.

The resolution stated that the process of Better Regulation needed to be based on a number of preconditions: the joint involvement of the Council, the Commission and the European Parliament; consultation of all relevant stakeholders, including nongovernmental organisations; strengthening accountability, in particular by opening Council meetings to public scrutiny when the Council is acting in its legislative capacity; and consideration of the economic, social, environmental and health aspects on an equal footing. The simplification process must under no circumstance entail lowering the standards contained in current legislation.

Whilst the measures outlined in the Commission communication demonstrate a clear commitment, still greater efforts are required in a number of areas to ensure that the maximum economic benefit is derived from internal market legislation.

Since better lawmaking could not be achieved without an overall picture of the economic, social, environmental, health and international impact of each legislative proposal, Parliament fully supported the setting-up within the Commission of an **Impact Assessment Board** under the authority of the Commission's President in order to monitor the application of these principles in the drafting of impact assessments by the responsible staff of the Commission. It felt, however, that an independent panel of experts should be set up to monitor, by means of spot checks, the quality of opinions delivered by the Impact Assessment Board, and that representatives of interested parties should also be allowed to assist in conducting them. It was also necessary that the Impact Assessment Board should guarantee the application of a **common methodology for all impact assessments**, so as to avoid contradictory approaches and to facilitate comparability.

Any impact assessment must take into due account significant effects of a policy proposal on society, the environment and the economy. It must also take into due account all possible significant effects on vulnerable or minority groups as well as gender mainstreaming aspects and other sensitive target groups, for example ethnic minorities, parents bringing up children, the aged and permanently ill and disabled people ("**social benchmarking**").

Parliament insisted that Member States provide an impact assessment for their initiatives in the area of **police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters**, pursuant to Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty. Member States should commit themselves to recognising a real obligation in this respect.

Furthermore, when monitoring the application of Community law by Member States, the Commission should oblige, and not merely invite, Member States to produce correlation tables and transposition notes, especially with a view to checking each national process of transposition of directives. To that end, the Committee was of the opinion that the Commission should call on Member States to adopt a **common reference methodology**.

Parliament deplored Member States' practice of '**gold plating**', calling upon the Commission to investigate what further measures might be taken to prevent it, including the introduction of a right of direct action for citizens, and 'follow-up impact assessments' analysing how decisions are in fact implemented in Member States and at local level. It recalled the importance of the judicious use of 'sunset clauses' in ensuring that legislation remains pertinent.

When presenting a legislative proposal, the Commission must **avoid unclear and redundant expressions** and preferably use plain and comprehensible language, whilst retaining terminological precision and legal certainty. The practice of using incomprehensible acronyms and the over-abundance of needless recitals must be abandoned.

Members strongly reaffirmed that better regulation must always imply the **full involvement of Parliament** both in the inter-institutional debate and, as a co-legislator, in the adoption of legislation subject to such a process. It was always open to Parliament to consider the appropriateness of the choice of legal instrument to be adopted (regulation, directive or decision) and/or to assess whether it may be preferable to use alternative regulatory methods.

Lastly, the new rules on **comitology**, which reinforce the scrutiny by Parliament and the Council of the implementing powers conferred on the Commission, constitute a further way of simplifying Community legislation, inasmuch as they allow wide-ranging regulatory powers to be transferred to the Commission as regards non-essential and technical details and thus permit Parliament and the Council to concentrate their legislative activity on more essential provisions.

## Better Regulation in the European Union

2007/2095(INI) - 21/05/2007

The Council took note of a Presidency progress report on the Better Regulation agenda (see Council document: *9164/07*).

The Better Regulation agenda aims at improving the quality and form of the regulatory environment in order to strengthen EU competitiveness. It currently covers simplification of legislative texts, reduction of administrative burdens and preparation and examination of impact assessments on most legislative proposals.

Since the last progress report in December 2006 and thanks to the impetus given by the German presidency in cooperation with the Commission, many positive developments have taken place. These include the adoption and launch at the Spring European Council 2007 of the Action Plan for reducing administrative burdens which includes the commitment to reduce the administrative burdens arising from EU legislation by 25% by 2012.

## Better Regulation in the European Union

**PURPOSE:** to analyse progress to date on the Better Regulation initiative.

**CONTENT:** the purpose of this strategic review is to analyse progress to date on the Better Regulation initiative and to map out the main challenges that lie ahead. Implementing Better Regulation is a joint responsibility – shared between the Member States and the European Union.

As the report states laws and regulations are fundamental. They ensure a fair and competitive market place, guarantee welfare, protect against public health scares and protect the environment from exploitation. Regulation also plays an important part in boosting productivity and employment.

The report recognises that now is the time to take stock of existing legislation and to see if it can be simplified – to ease burdens on operators and citizens and to ensure that it is clear, up-to-date, efficient and user friendly. Recognising the need for simplification, the European Parliament and the Council, have signed the Inter-institutional Agreement on Better Lawmaking, and are taking steps to apply Better Regulation in practice.

Nevertheless, the Commission argues that more can be done and as such is proposing the launch of an ambitious new strategy for reducing administrative burdens. Given that administrative burdens originate both in European and national legislation, the Commission proposes that a joint reduction target for administrative burdens of 25% to be achieved by 2012. It calls on the Member States, in the meantime, to take similar actions at national level with progress being reported in the National Reform Programmes.

**The Better Regulation Programme:** The Commission has given priority to simplifying and improving the regulatory environment. In 2005 it launched a Better Regulation programme, applying to all stages of the policy cycle. Thus, for existing legislation efforts have been made to simplify and modernise the *acquis* (such as recasting, repealing, codifying or revising). For new proposals, a comprehensive system for assessing the impact of proposals and for consulting interested parties has been put in place. Care is taken to ensure that proposals are proportionate to the problem at hand and that any action is taken at the correct level – in other words that it respects the principle of subsidiarity. For pending legislation, the Commission is screening proposals to see if delays in adoption are due to the quality and relevance of the proposal and hence whether they should be withdrawn.

**Progress to date and challenges ahead:** Of the 100 proposals originally planned for 2005-2008 in the rolling simplification programme, 50 will have been adopted by the end of 2006. These include important proposals for business.

- *Reducing administrative burdens:* On the matter of reducing administrative burdens, the Commission has developed a common methodology for assessing administrative costs. It applies this in its own *ex ante* assessments for new legislation. At the Spring European Council, the Council will be asked to endorse a 25% reduction target for administrative burdens of EU and national legislation by 2012.
- *Codification and the repeal of obsolete legislation:* The Commission's codification programme involves about 500 acts in all sectors. These 500 codified acts will replace around 2000 acts in total. 85 acts have been finalised by the Commission; 52 have been adopted and published in the Official Journal; and 33 acts are pending before the Council and Parliament.
- *Improving the preparation of proposals:* The Commission has set up an integrated system for impact assessment and it has issued guidelines for major policy proposals. Since 2003 the Commission has completed over 160 impact assessments. Since 2006 these have been translated into all official languages. An important new element is the creation of an Impact Assessment Board or IBA.
- *Screening and withdrawal of pending proposals:* Upon taking office in 2004 the present Commission decided to screen proposals adopted by the previous Commission to see if they aligned with the Growth and Jobs agenda. Based on this initiative, 68 pending proposals were withdrawn in early 2006. In 2007 a further 10 proposals will be withdrawn. The Commission will continue to regularly monitor pending legislation in order to make sure that it is up-to-date and relevant.
- *Applying EU law:* The Commission will continue to ensure the correct application of European laws. However, it is essential that Member States assume their responsibility in this respect. Where prevention fails the Commission will seek swift correction, focusing on key categories of cases such as the non-communication of national measures to transpose Directive, breaches of European law and the non-compliance with Court judgements.

**Progress with Better Regulation in the Member States:** Improving the regulatory environment in Europe depends on the contribution made by the Member States. It affects transposition and implementation as well as the quality of national and regional regulation. Most Member States now have a Better Regulation strategy and an institutional structure in place to support it. While about half of the Member States have developed a comprehensive simplification programme, many *ad-hoc* initiatives are being launched. The strategic review notes that improving the regulation process requires time, financial and human resources as well as an adjustment of current institutional structures.

**Next Steps:** The report sets out a number of targets that it wants to achieve over the coming years. In summary they include: the simplification of legislation; reducing administrative burdens; strengthening the role of impact assessments; screening the withdrawal of pending proposals; guaranteeing the transposition and application of EU laws; and continuing work on codification and the repeal of obsolete legislation.

**Conclusion:** The Commission concludes that whilst much has been achieved more needs to be done still in order to bring the agenda forward. The Commission asserts that it is strongly committed to playing its part and is taking major new initiatives to strengthen its impact assessment system as well as its simplification programme. It is also launching an unprecedented drive to cut administrative burdens. The Commission warns, however, that it can not succeed alone. It therefore calls on the European Council, the European Parliament and the Member States to endorse the priorities outlined in this Communication.