


Basic information	
<b>2008/2146(IMM)</b> IMM - Members' immunity	Procedure completed
Request for waiver of the immunity of Antonio Di Pietro  <b>Subject</b> 8.40.01.03 Members' immunity	

Key players			
European Parliament	<b>Committee responsible</b>	<b>Rapporteur</b>	<b>Appointed</b>
	<div style="border: 1px solid red; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">JURI</div> Legal Affairs	SAKALAS Aloyzas (PSE)	25/06/2008

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
31/03/2009	Vote in committee		Summary
01/04/2009	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A6-0197/2009</a>	
22/04/2009	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T6-0235/2009</a>	Summary
22/04/2009	Results of vote in Parliament		
22/04/2009	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
<b>Procedure reference</b>	2008/2146(IMM)
<b>Procedure type</b>	IMM - Members' immunity
<b>Procedure subtype</b>	Waiver of immunity
<b>Legal basis</b>	Rules of Procedure EP 6
<b>Stage reached in procedure</b>	Procedure completed
<b>Committee dossier</b>	JURI/6/64055

Documentation gateway				
European Parliament				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A6-0197/2009</a>	01/04/2009	

Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	<a href="#">T6-0235/2009</a>	22/04/2009	<a href="#">Summary</a>
--	------------------------------	------------	-------------------------

Additional information		
Source	Document	Date
European Commission	<a href="#">EUR-Lex</a>	

## Request for waiver of the immunity of Antonio Di Pietro

2008/2146(IMM) - 22/04/2009 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament decided by 654 votes to 11, with 13 abstentions, not to waive Antonio Di Pietro's (a former Italian MEP) immunity.

Mr Di Pietro is pursued in Italy in the context of a civil procedure for defamation. Following the opinion of the Legal Affairs Committee, Parliament considers that Mr Di Pietro was only expressing his opinion on matters of legitimate public interest in performance of his duty as an MEP and therefore his immunity should be defended.