## Basic information

| | |
|---|---|
| **2010/2076(INI)**<br>INI - Own-initiative procedure | Procedure completed |
| 26th annual report on monitoring the application of European Union law (2008)<br>**Subject**<br>8.50.01 Implementation of EU law | |

## Key players

### European Parliament

| Committee responsible | Rapporteur | Appointed |
|---|---|---|
| JURI Legal Affairs | LICHTENBERGER Eva (Verts/ALE) | 02/09/2009 |
| | Shadow rapporteur<br>ZWIEFKA Tadeusz (PPE) | |

| Committee for opinion | Rapporteur for opinion | Appointed |
|---|---|---|
| IMCO Internal Market and Consumer Protection | BUŞOI Cristian-Silviu (ALDE) | 15/06/2010 |
| PETI Petitions | MAZZONI Erminia (PPE) | 04/05/2010 |

### European Commission

| Commission DG | Commissioner |
|---|---|
| Secretariat-General | ŠEFČOVIČ Maroš |

## Key events

| Date | Event | Reference | Summary |
|---|---|---|---|
| 16/12/2009 | Non-legislative basic document published | COM(2009)0675 | |
| 20/05/2010 | Committee referral announced in Parliament | | |
| 18/10/2010 | Vote in committee | | Summary |
| 22/10/2010 | Committee report tabled for plenary | A7-0291/2010 | |
| 25/11/2010 | Decision by Parliament | T7-0437/2010 | Summary |
| 25/11/2010 | Results of vote in Parliament | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 25/11/2010 | End of procedure in Parliament | | |

| Technical information | |
|---|---|
| **Procedure reference** | 2010/2076(INI) |
| **Procedure type** | INI - Own-initiative procedure |
| **Procedure subtype** | Annual report |
| **Legal basis** | Rules of Procedure EP 148-p1 |
| **Other legal basis** | Rules of Procedure EP 165 |
| **Stage reached in procedure** | Procedure completed |
| **Committee dossier** | JURI/7/00884 |

Documentation gateway

**European Parliament**

| Document type | Committee | Reference | Date | Summary |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Committee draft report | | PE443.023 | 18/06/2010 | |
| Amendments tabled in committee | | PE445.729 | 15/07/2010 | |
| Committee opinion | IMCO | PE443.067 | 14/09/2010 | |
| Committee opinion | PETI | PE445.670 | 05/10/2010 | |
| Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading | | A7-0291/2010 | 22/10/2010 | |
| Text adopted by Parliament, single reading | | T7-0437/2010 | 25/11/2010 | Summary |

**European Commission**

| Document type | Reference | Date | Summary |
|---|---|---|---|
| Non-legislative basic document | COM(2009)0675 | 16/12/2009 | |
| Commission response to text adopted in plenary | SP(2011)1476 | 02/05/2011 | |

# 26th annual report on monitoring the application of European Union law (2008)

2010/2076(INI) - 25/11/2010 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted a resolution on the 26th Annual Report on Monitoring the Application of European Union Law (2008).

Members regret that the Commission has not responded to the issues raised by Parliament in its previous resolutions, in particular the aforementioned resolution of 21 February 2008. They note the **lack of improvement with regard to transparency**, particularly with reference to the '**EU Pilot**' project and the issue of human resources.

The resolution notes that through EU Pilot the Commission is aiming to increase 'commitment, co-operation and partnership between the Commission and Member States' and is considering, in close cooperation with national administrations, how to deal with the application of European Union law.

The resolution also notes that on the one hand citizens are portrayed as having an essential role in ensuring compliance with EU law on the ground, whilst on the other – in EU Pilot – they are even further excluded from any subsequent procedure. Members consider that this is not in line with the Treaties' solemn declarations that decisions are taken as openly as possible and as closely as possible to the citizen.

Parliament is of the opinion that, in their present form, the Commission's annual reports on monitoring the application of European Union law **do not give citizens or the other institutions sufficient information** about the true state of application of EU law, as the Commission only makes reference to formal proceedings being opened against Member States that have not transposed EU law into their national legal systems. It considers however that it would also be very much in the interest of citizens and Parliament to be informed when the Commission opens infringements for the incorrect or bad transposition of EU law, with details of those infringements also being supplied.

Members wish to ensure that the Commission continues to produce detailed data on all types of infringement, and that the **entirety of this data is made freely available to Parliament** to enable it to perform its role of scrutiny of the Commission's discharge of its role as guardian of the Treaties. They recall the Parliament's resolution of 4 February 2010 in which it calls on the Commission 'to make available to Parliament summary information about all infringement procedures based on the letter of formal notice.

The Commission is called upon to supply Parliament with relevant data to enable an analysis to be made of the added value EU Pilot brings to the existing process of managing infringement files, which would justify extending the project further. It considers that this data should, for example, allow Parliament to check whether the 10 weeks granted to a Member State to find a solution to a concrete case have not further delayed the initiation of an infringement procedure, the duration of which is already extremely lengthy and indeterminate.

Parliament notes that **delays** in correctly applying, transposing and enforcing European Union law directly affect the daily lives of citizens and businesses and the enjoyment of their rights, resulting in legal uncertainty and preventing them from enjoying the full benefits of the internal market. Deploring the fact that some Member States underestimate the value of the correct and timely application of EU law, Members urge them to give suitable priority to transposition and application, in order to avoid delays.

The resolution invites the Commission to propose a '**procedural code**' in the form of a regulation under the new legal basis of Article 298 TFEU, setting out the various aspects of the infringement procedure, including notifications, time-limits, the right to be heard, the obligation to state reasons, etc., in order to enforce citizens' rights and transparency.

Parliament welcomes the nascent one-stop shop for citizens seeking advice or recourse or making complaints through 'Your Europe'. With the addition of the widely publicised Citizens' Initiative (Art 11(4) TEU) to the list of instruments for citizens' participation, the need for explanation and guidance has increased exponentially. The European Parliament would like to be involved in the development of this website in order to ensure coherence with its own plans for providing better guidance for citizens.

Lastly, Members urge that Parliament's role in the areas of the application, enforcement and monitoring of single market rules be strengthened.