Basic information

2011/2019(BUD) BUD - Budgetary procedure	Procedure completed
2012 budget: mandate for the trilogue **Subject** 8.70.60 Previous annual budgets	

Key players

European Parliament

Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
BUDG Budgets	BALZANI Francesca (S&D)	19/01/2011

Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
AFET Foreign Affairs	MUÑIZ DE URQUIZA María (S&D)	12/04/2011
DEVE Development	GOERENS Charles (ALDE)	07/02/2011
INTA International Trade	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	26/01/2011
CONT Budgetary Control	CHATZIMARKAKIS Jorgo (ALDE)	10/02/2011
ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs	LUDVIGSSON Olle (S&D)	04/04/2011
EMPL Employment and Social Affairs	BERÈS Pervenche (S&D)	17/02/2011
ENVI Environment, Climate and Food Safety	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	26/01/2011
ITRE Industry, Research and Energy	BÜTIKOFER Reinhard (Verts/ALE)	16/02/2011
IMCO Internal Market and Consumer Protection	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	

TRAN Transport and Tourism	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	23/05/2011
REGI Regional Development	SURJÁN László (PPE)	27/01/2011
AGRI Agriculture and Rural Development	CAPOULAS SANTOS Luis Manuel (S&D)	01/12/2010
PECH Fisheries	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
CULT Culture and Education	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
JURI Legal Affairs	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
LIBE Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs	KELLER Ska (Verts/ALE)	16/03/2011
AFCO Constitutional Affairs	SAUDARGAS Algirdas (PPE)	17/02/2011
FEMM Women's Rights and Gender Equality	MATERA Barbara (PPE)	26/01/2011
PETI Petitions	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	

Council of the European Union

European Commission

Commission DG	Commissioner
Budget	LEWANDOWSKI Janusz

Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
15/06/2011	Vote in committee		Summary
16/06/2011	Committee report tabled for plenary	A7-0230/2011	
23/06/2011	Decision by Parliament	T7-0296/2011	Summary
23/06/2011	Results of vote in Parliament		

23/06/2011	Debate in Parliament		
23/06/2011	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2011/2019(BUD)
Procedure type	BUD - Budgetary procedure
Procedure subtype	Budgetary preparation
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 165
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	BUDG/7/05097

Documentation gateway

European Parliament

Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee draft report		PE464.693	02/05/2011	
Committee opinion	AFCO	PE462.605	24/05/2011	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE465.029	24/05/2011	
Committee opinion	EMPL	PE462.612	25/05/2011	
Committee opinion	DEVE	PE462.791	25/05/2011	
Committee opinion	AFET	PE462.885	25/05/2011	
Committee opinion	AGRI	PE464.723	25/05/2011	
Committee opinion	CONT	PE460.688	26/05/2011	
Committee opinion	ITRE	PE460.864	27/05/2011	
Committee opinion	FEMM	PE462.705	27/05/2011	
Committee opinion	LIBE	PE462.897	27/05/2011	
Committee opinion	REGI	PE462.573	30/05/2011	
Committee opinion	ECON	PE464.714	08/06/2011	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A7-0230/2011	16/06/2011	
Parliament's opinion on budgetary estimates/guidelines		T7-0296/2011	23/06/2011	Summary

European Commission

Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	SP(2011)8296	03/10/2011	

Additional information		
Source	Document	Date
European Commission	EUR-Lex	

2012 budget: mandate for the trilogue

2011/2019(BUD) - 23/06/2011 - Parliament's opinion on budgetary estimates/guidelines

The European Parliament adopted by 379 votes to 128, with 94 abstentions, a resolution on the mandate for the trilogue on the 2012 Draft Budget.

Parliament recalls that the 2012 budgetary procedure is the second procedure carried out on the basis of the Treaty of Lisbon, and important lessons can be drawn from last year's experience. The trilogue which will be held in July 2011 should enable the representatives of the two arms of the budgetary authority to discuss the priorities they have identified with regard to the annual budget 2012 and possibly find common ground that could be taken into account in their respective readings.

General analysis: Parliament recalls that the European Parliament put the Europe 2020 strategyfor a smart, sustainable and inclusive growth at the centre of the 2012 EU budgetary strategy. The latter will require a huge amount of future-oriented investment up to 2020, estimated at no less than EUR 1 800 billion. Accordingly, necessary investments - at both EU and Member State level - must be made now and delayed no longer, to improve education levels, foster social inclusion, in particular through the reduction of poverty, and the development of a knowledge-based society rooted in the overall EU scientific and technological capacity.

Draft budget: at the moment, the EU draft budget for 2012, as proposed by the Commission, amounts to:

- EUR 147 435 million in commitment appropriations, and
- EUR 132 738 million in payment appropriations,

representing respectively 1.12 % and 1.01% of the EU's forecast gross national income (GNI) for 2012. This proportion remains noticeably stable between 2011 and 2012, with GNI growth estimated by the Commission at no less than +4.7% in 2012 (in current prices). Members stress that this is a question of institutional credibility and coherence of the EU project when EU responsibilities and commitments keep on growing. Endowing targeted policy areas and new competencies established at EU level with meaningful and visible financial capacity is a priority.

With regard to **budgetary priorities** linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, Members note the Commission's estimate that all in all 43.5% of the DB 2012 (in commitment appropriations) contributes to the objectives of the strategy. They find this estimate positive but not sufficient. Appropriations in the EU 2012 Budget should be set at an appropriate level to ensure the continuation of EU policies and the achievement of EU objectives, particularly in the wake of the Arab Spring and the unrest in the Middle East.

Other salient issues on which the Parliament focuses are as follows:

- as requested by Parliament in its resolution of 24 March 2011, the determine political and budgetary positive and negative priorities as well as the **possibility of further savings and reallocations** including the financing of actions aiming at tackling the effects of the crisis and promoting growth;
- strong warnings against any attempt by the Council, to make horizontal cuts in the budget, deciding on the overall level of appropriations a priori, without duly taking into account an accurate assessment of the actual needs for the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and political commitments. Parliament requests, if cuts are made, for the Council to instead publicly explain and clearly identify which of the EU's political priorities or projects could be delayed or dropped altogether;
- the proposed level of payments represents the bare minimum required to honour EU legal commitments made in previous years and that it is the EU's duty to comply with the legal obligations deriving from these commitments and ensure that programmes unfold their full potential and run at full speed. Parliament strongly urges the Council, therefore, to **refrain from cutting the proposed level of payments**;
- expresses its intention to keep the level of payments at the level proposed by the Commission in the Draft Budget, particularly in view of Council's early 2011 reluctance to honour its formal commitment of December 2010 to providing fresh appropriations in case of need;
- the draft amending budget 03/2011 shows a budgetary surplus of EUR 4.54 billion in payments in 2010, EUR 1.28 billion of which stems from fines and interest on late payments. Parliament is disappointed by the Commission's proposal to lower the Member States' contributions by this entire amount;
- Parliament stresses that, while having no impact on the overall deficit level of Member States, this part of the surplus can make a clear difference to the EU's annual budget, and can, at the same time, enable the pressure on Member States' national budgets to be reduced should it be necessary to enter additional payments in the EU budget for needs not foreseen when the annual budget was established. Plenary considers that **the revenue stemming from fines and interest on late payments** should not be deducted from the GNI-based own resources but should be entered in the EU budget in a 'reserve for appropriations' intended to cover any extra payment needs which may arise in the course of the year.

Heading 1a: Parliament takes note of the Commission's proposal to increase commitment appropriations by 12.6% (to EUR 15 223 million) and payment appropriations by 8.1% (to EUR 12 566 million), since Heading 1a is the key heading of the MFF 2007-2013 in terms of reaching the

objectives of the Europe 2020 strategy. It regrets, however, that most of the increases provided under this heading for 2012 do not go beyond the mere yearly breakdown of multiannual global amounts agreed to by both Parliament and Council when these programmes and actions were adopted. Members note that the Commission does not generally propose to boost the support for investments urgently needed to implement the seven flagship initiatives except for the Competiveness and Innovation Framework programme (CIP), Trans-European Transport Network and Energy network, Erasmus Mundus and Lifelong Learning. They intend to take full advantage, where appropriate, of the 5% legislative flexibility allowed under Point 37 of the IIA, in order to further boost key and pressing investments.

Furthermore, the committee strongly reaffirms its opposition to any form of redeployment from EC FP7 since this would endanger its successful implementation and significantly reduce the implementation of the flagship initiatives of the Europe 2020 strategy. Noting, moreover, that an important part of the nominal increase in Heading 1a is linked to the additional funds of EUR 750 million required by ITER, it is concerned about the proposed EUR 100 million redeployment for ITER and the extra cuts of EUR 64 million made to EC FP7. Members demand that the Commission proposes to use all the savings (amounting in total to EUR 190 million) to be made in 2012 for the benefit of operational expenditure under the EC FP7.

Members go on to call for:

- improved funding conditions for the sustainable energy priorities, energy storage technologies and other priorities on renewables;
- strengthening the Risk Sharing Finance Facility (RSFF), to leverage investments and foster research and innovation;
- proper financing of PROGRESS programme, SME actions, the TEN-T programme, the CIP programme, the Lifelong Learning programme given its high European added value, and other programmes relating to tourism, the fight against fraud and Galileo.

Heading 1b: recalling the decisive contribution of cohesion policy, Members take the view accordingly that, while its redistributive nature and its aim to reduce regional disparities should be preserved, cohesion policy must remain EU-wide investment policy. They note that total expenditure for Heading 1b is estimated at EUR 52 739 million in commitments appropriations, representing an increase of 3.4% compared to 2011. They welcome the 8.4% increase in payment appropriations to EUR 45 134 million. Members state they will strictly **oppose any possible decrease in the level of payments** compared to the one proposed by the Commission in its Draft Budget. At the same time, they call on the Commission to: i) collect demographic data of the beneficiaries of the cohesion policy, the European Social Fund notably, in order to monitor the real impact of the funds; ii) keep on working closely with those Member States with a low absorption rate in order to further improve absorption on the ground; iii) simplify the complex system of rules and requirements imposed by the EU and/or national legislation.

Heading 2: Members note that the draft budget 2012 proposes to increase commitment appropriations by 2.6% to EUR 60 158 million and payment appropriations by 2.8% to EUR 57 948 million as compared with Budget 2011, which increases remain below the increase proposed by the Commission for the budget as a whole. They underline the fact that market interventions remain almost stable compared with Budget 2011, while price volatility and the instability of certain markets continue to affect the agricultural sector. Parliament asks the Commission to develop concrete proposals for dealing with price volatility. It notes that the traditional agricultural amending letter to be presented in Autumn 2011 will adjust the current estimates to a more precise assessment of the real needs. Members call for the following: i) further reduction of export refunds; ii) strengthen actions on food and sustainability as two of the main challenges for the CAP; iii) strengthening of programmes on LIFE and water protection and the preservation of biodiversity in other policies,; iv) adequate financing of the Common Fisheries Policy and, in particular, combating Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated fishing.

Heading 3a: Members note the overall increase in funding proposed in the draft budget 2012 compared to Budget 2011 for actions encompassed under this heading (+17.7% in commitments appropriations, +6.8 % in payment appropriations). These increases are mostly linked to three of the four Solidarity and Management of Immigration programmes, but the increases are simply the result of the yearly breakdown of multiannual global amounts agreed upon by both Parliament and Council when these programmes and actions were adopted. In this context, Members wonder whether the draft budget presented by the Commission constitutes an appropriate and updated answer to the current challenges facing the EU, not least in the context of the ongoing events in the Southern Mediterranean, the management of legal migration and slowing down of illegal migration, and the need for sufficient funding to handle emergency situations in a spirit of full respect of internal protection rules and human rights.

In an amendment adopted in Plenary, Members deeply regret the fact that the Commission is sending a message of rejection to refugees by substantially increasing appropriations for the External Border Fund and the European Return Fund, while keeping those for the European Refugee Fund at the same level as in 2011. They believe that the EU should adopt a more welcoming stance towards refugees, especially in light of the Libyan war and the ongoing severe repression of demonstrators in several Arab countries. Parliament notes that, after a presentation of the next technical steps, the 2011 appropriations for SIS II placed in the reserve have been released by the budgetary authority; highlights the fact that the budgetary authority will continue to closely monitor future developments concerning SIS II and reserves the right to take action, should it prove necessary.

Heading 3b: Parliament deeply regrets that overall appropriations under this heading are down for a third consecutive year, with commitment appropriations being reduced by 0.1% (to EUR 683.5 million) and payment appropriations by 0.3 % (to EUR 645.7 million) as compared to the 2011 Budget (excluding the EU Solidarity Fund), leaving a margin of EUR 15.5 million. It takes due note of the Commission's proposal to increase by EUR 8 million, as compared to the initial financial programming, the 2012 allocations for Youth in Action but regret that similar efforts are not being proposed for programmes such as MEDIA and Culture 2007, that the Commission has not proposed any specific measure in favour of sport, and deplores the decrease in the Civil Protection Financial Instrument's funding.

Heading 4: Parliament notes that the commitment and payment appropriations requested in the draft budget 2012 have increased by 2.9% and 0.8%, as compared to the 2011 Budget, to EUR 9 009.3 and EUR 7 293.7 million respectively (account being taken of the Emergency Aid reserve). It is convinced that a concrete effort must be made to make optimal, coordinated use of all European instruments available and Member State actions. Flexibility in the programming and implementation of the EU instruments must be further improved to allow an adequate and effective response to political and humanitarian crises in third countries. It is the EU's duty to respond adequately to recent political developments in Mediterranean neighbouring countries and to provide support and assistance to movements fighting for democratic values. Members reiterate however that reinforcement of financial assistance to these countries must not be detrimental to priorities and instruments for the benefit of neighbouring Eastern European countries. Parliament invites the Commission to address all other outstanding issues and needs, including the financing of Palestine and UNRWA, which is decreased by EUR 100 million as compared to 2011 Budget, in order to maximise the impact of EU assistance in the world.

Members are concerned that the proposed margin of EUR 246.7 million may be insufficient to address the new needs under Heading 4. They regret the Commission's proposal to cut funding for the cooperation with developing countries in Asia and Latin America and call for adequate funding. Members strongly oppose the other decreases, amounting to EUR 78 million overall, made to DCI geographical programmes, and will **firmly reject** any systematic, quasi-automatic and sometimes unconsidered cuts by the other branch of the budgetary authority in administrative expenditure under Heading 4 for the sole sake of decreasing appropriations.

Heading 5: Parliament notes that total administrative expenditure for all institutions is estimated at EUR 8 281 million, representing an increase of 1.3% as compared to 2011. It notes the letter from the Commissioner for Financial Programming and Budget of 3 February 2011 committing to an increase in Heading 5 expenditure below 1% and no new staff as compared to 2011. Members underline that the European Parliament has succeeded to reduce its own estimates by around EUR 50 million compared to the first proposal of preliminary draft estimates. Members feel however, that any further cut to 2012 administrative appropriations might have an adverse impact on the implementation of programmes. They emphasise that while EU competences keep on increasing, this trend is **not sustainable in the long term** and will have an adverse impact on the swift, regular and effective implementation of EU actions and programmes. They acknowledge the Commission's efforts not to request any additional posts and ask for further information on posts needed to ensure the appropriate monitoring of Member States' economic and financial situation within DG ECFIN. Members note the 4% increase in expenditure on pensions (as against +5.2% from 2010 to 2011) in view of the wave of retirements of officials and will carefully scrutinise the proposed overall 1.7% increase for the European Schools.

Pilot projects – preparatory actions, and agencies: the resolution stresses that pilot projects (PPs) and preparatory actions (PAs) are key tools for the formulation of political priorities and for paving the way for new initiatives. Members intend, therefore, to support by all possible means its proposals regarding pilot projects and preparatory actions for the 2012 Budget. They stress that EU agencies' budget allocations are far from consisting in administrative expenditure alone.

Lastly, Parliament considers the following issues to be of specific interest for the **trilogue due to take place on 11 July 2011**:

- 2012 EU budgetary allocations in support of the EU2020 strategy;
- overall level of payments in the 2012 Budget and outstanding RAL;
- proposal for a revision of the current MFF 2007-13 to address additional financing needs of the ITER project;
- financial sustainability and manageability of heading 4 in 2012, particularly in view of forthcoming amending letter to address the democratic transition in Southern Mediterranean;
- outstanding issues related to Budget 2011.