

Basic information	
2013/2204(DEC) DEC - Discharge procedure	Procedure completed
2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Data Protection Supervisor Subject 8.70.03.07 Previous discharges	

Key players				
European Parliament	Committee responsible		Rapporteur	Appointed
	CONT Budgetary Control		SONIK Bogusław (PPE)	25/09/2013
			Shadow rapporteur LIBERADZKI Bogusław (S&D) MULDER Jan (ALDE) STAES Bart (Verts/ALE) ANDREASEN Marta (ECR) VANHECKE Frank (EFD) EHRENHAUSER Martin (NI)	
	Committee for opinion		Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	JURI Legal Affairs		The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	LIBE Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs		MATHIEU HOUILLON Véronique (PPE)	04/11/2013
European Commission	Commission DG		Commissioner	
	Budget		ŠEMETA Algirdas	

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
		COM(2013)0570	Summary

26/07/2013	Non-legislative basic document published		
22/10/2013	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
18/03/2014	Vote in committee		
21/03/2014	Committee report tabled for plenary	A7-0228/2014	Summary
02/04/2014	Debate in Parliament		
03/04/2014	Decision by Parliament	T7-0298/2014	Summary
03/04/2014	Results of vote in Parliament		
03/04/2014	End of procedure in Parliament		
05/09/2014	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information

Procedure reference	2013/2204(DEC)
Procedure type	DEC - Discharge procedure
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 165
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CONT/7/14036

Documentation gateway

European Parliament

Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee draft report		PE521.734	27/01/2014	
Committee opinion	LIBE	PE524.745	24/02/2014	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE529.745	28/02/2014	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A7-0228/2014	21/03/2014	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T7-0298/2014	03/04/2014	Summary

Council of the EU

Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
Document attached to the procedure	05848/2014	17/02/2014	Summary

European Commission

Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
Non-legislative basic document	COM(2013)0570 	26/07/2013	Summary

Other institutions and bodies

Institution/body	Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
CofA	Court of Auditors: opinion, report	N7-0049/2014 OJ C 331 14.11.2013, p. 0001	05/09/2013	Summary

Additional information		
Source	Document	Date
European Commission	EUR-Lex	

Final act	
Budget 2014/0558 OJ L 266 05.09.2014, p. 0142	Summary

2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Data Protection Supervisor

2013/2204(DEC) - 26/07/2013 - Non-legislative basic document

PURPOSE: presentation by the Commission of the consolidated annual accounts of the European Union for the financial year 2012, as part of the 2012 discharge procedure.

Analysis of the accounts of the EU Institutions: **Section IX – European Data Protection Supervisor.**

Legal reminder: the consolidated annual accounts of the European Union for the year 2011 have been prepared on the basis of the information presented by the institutions and bodies under Article 129(2) of the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Union. They were prepared in accordance with Title VII of the Financial Regulation and with the accounting principles, rules and methods set out in the notes to the financial statements.

The objective of the financial statements is to provide information about the financial position, performance and cashflow of a body that is useful to a wide range of users. The objective is to provide information that is useful for decision making, and to demonstrate the accountability of the entity for the resources entrusted to it.

1) Purpose: the document helps to bring insight into the EU budget mechanism and **the way in which the budget has been managed and spent in 2012.** It recalls that the European Union's operational expenditure covers the various headings of the financial framework and takes different forms, depending on how the money is paid out and managed. In accordance with the Financial Regulation, the Commission implements the general budget using the following methods: direct or indirect centralised management (by means of bodies or agencies of public law or other); decentralised management where the Commission delegates certain tasks for the implementation of the budget to third countries; and, thirdly, shared management where budget implementation tasks are delegated to Member States, in areas such as agricultural expenditure and structural actions.

The document also presents the different financial actors involved in the budget process (accounting officers, internal officers and authorising officers) and recalls their respective roles in the context of the tasks of sound financial management.

Amongst the other legal elements relating to the implementation of the EU budget presented in this document, the paper focuses on the following issues:

- accounting principles applicable to the management of EU spending (business continuity, consistency of accounting methods, comparability of information ...);
- consolidation methods of figures for all major controlled entities (the consolidated financial statements of the EU comprise all significant controlled entities –institutions, organisations and agencies);
- the recognition of financial assets in the EU (tangible and intangible assets, financial assets and other miscellaneous investments);
- the way in which EU public expenditure is committed and spent, including pre-financing (cash advances intended for the benefit of an EU organ);
- the means of recovery following irregularities detected;
- the modus operandi of the accounting system;
- the audit process followed by the European Parliament's granting of the discharge.

To recap, **the final control is the discharge of the budget for a given financial year**. The discharge represents the political aspect of the external control of budget implementation and is the decision by which the European Parliament, acting on a Council recommendation, "releases" the Commission from its responsibility for management of a given budget by marking the end of that budget's existence.

The document also details specific expenditure of the institutions, in particular: i) pensions of former Members and officials of institutions; ii) joint sickness insurance scheme and iii) buildings.

Lastly, the document presents a series of tables and detailed technical indicators on (i) the balance sheet; (ii) the economic outturn account; (iii) cashflow tables; (iv) technical annexes concerning the financial statements.

2) Implementation of appropriations under Section IX of the budget for the financial year 2012: the document comprises a series of detailed tables, the most important concerning the implementation of the budget. Concerning the EDPS's expenditure, the table on the financial and budgetary implementation of this institution is presented as follows (information drawn from the [Budget and Financial Management Report for the 2012 financial year](#)):

- available appropriations: EUR 7.624 million;
- commitments: EUR 7.258 million (rate of implementation: 95.21%);
- payments: EUR 6.959 million (rate of implementation 81.28%).

3) Budgetary implementation – conclusions: in more general and political terms, the EDPS's budgetary implementation for the financial year 2012 was chiefly marked by the following activities:

- consolidation of administrative cooperation (follow-up of the technical set-up of the EDPS, cooperation with various European Commission and Parliament DGs, extended cooperation agreement for the third time for a period of two years from January 2012);
- conclusion of new cooperation agreements with the Commission's Human Resources and Informatics DGs, as well as with the European Institute of Administration; continuation of other international agreements with the European Parliament and other institutions for the everyday management of the EDPS, with a view to reducing costs;
- impact of the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty: expanded tasks in relation to the Stockholm programme and a resulting increase in staff (2 AD in 2012).

2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Data Protection Supervisor

2013/2204(DEC) - 05/09/2013

PURPOSE: presentation of the Report of the Court of Auditors on the 2012 budget (Analysis of the accounts of the European Data Protection Supervisor - EDPS).

CONTENT: the Court of Auditors published its 36th Annual Report on the implementation of the EU budget for the 2012 financial year.

In accordance with the tasks and objectives conferred on the Court of Auditors by the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, it provides under the discharge procedure, for both the European Parliament and Council, a statement of assurance ("DAS") about the reliability of the accounts and the legality and regularity of the transactions of each institution, body or agency of the EU, based on an independent external audit.

The audit also focused on the budget implementation of the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS).

On the basis of its audit work, the Court considers that payments for "Administrative and other expenditure" policy are, overall, **significantly error-free**.

Although the Court has observed some errors and weaknesses, the examined supervisory and control systems are likely to reduce the rate of error present in initial payment requests to an acceptable level. These systems are therefore assessed as effective.

The main risks regarding administrative and other expenditure are:

- the non-compliance with the procedures for procurement;
- the implementation of contracts;
- recruitment issues;
- the calculation of salaries and allowances.

Overall audit evidence indicates that accepted expenditure is not affected by a material level of error.

The Court also made a number of comments specific to each institution or body of the European Union. In the case of the EDPS's audit, **the Court did not identify any significant weakness**.

2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Data Protection Supervisor

2013/2204(DEC) - 17/02/2014

In view of the observations made in the Court of Auditor's report, the Council called on the European Parliament **to grant discharge to all of the Union's institutions in regard to the implementation of their respective budgets for the financial year 2012**.

Overall, the Council's remarks were positive in regard to the expenditure of the institutions since it noted that, again in 2012, the administrative expenditure of EU institutions and bodies **remained free from material error** with an estimated error rate of 0%, and that their supervisory and control systems continued to comply with the requirements of the Financial Regulation.

The Council welcomed the fact that, according to the Court's assessment, **no serious errors** were detected with regard to the effectiveness of the supervisory and control systems, in the individual institutions, except for a limited number of errors in the procurement procedures and the management of **social allowances**.

It welcomed the measures already taken and encouraged the institutions concerned to address the remaining weaknesses identified by the Court.

2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Data Protection Supervisor

2013/2204(DEC) - 03/04/2014 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted a decision concerning the discharge to be granted to the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) in respect of the implementation of budget for the financial year 2012.

In its resolution accompanying the decision, adopted by 521 votes to 66, with 8 abstentions, Parliament noted the conclusion of the Court of Auditors that the payments as a whole for the year ended on 31 December 2012 for administrative and other expenditure were free from material error. It also observed that no significant weaknesses had been identified in respect to the audited topics related to the human resources and procurement for the Supervisor.

Budgetary and financial management: Parliament noted that in 2012, the Supervisor had a total of EUR 7.624 million in commitment appropriations, and that the implementation rate of those appropriations was 89.69%, an increase since 2011). It found this a positive development but called for further efforts to improve the implementation rate and for the changes made to be monitored.

EDPS's framework action: Parliament also made a series of observations on the daily management of the EDPS and called for:

- further improvements regarding the monitoring of allowances and performance levels;
- an assessment of the financial impact of structural changes made recently and the implementation of electronic system of case management;
- continued implementation of the recommendations made by the Internal Audit Service (IAS) of the Commission;
- the inclusion of an exhaustive table of all the human resources at the Supervisor's disposal, broken down by category, grade, sex and
- the strengthening of cooperation with other institutions to develop a unified methodology of presenting the translation costs.

Parliament generally considered that the Supervisor should pay particular attention to **sound financial management**, namely the economy, efficiency and effectiveness with which it has used its appropriations in carrying out its responsibilities.

2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Data Protection Supervisor

2013/2204(DEC) - 21/03/2014 - Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading

The Committee on Budgetary Control adopted the report by Bogusław SONIK (EPP, PL) in which it called on the European Parliament to grant discharge to the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) in respect of the implementation of the European Data Protection Supervisor's budget for the financial year 2012.

Members welcomed the fact that on the basis of its audit work, the Court of Auditors concluded that the payments as a whole for the year ended on 31 December 2012 for administrative and other expenditure of the institutions and bodies were free from material error. They observed that no significant weaknesses had been identified in respect of the audited topics related to human resources and procurement for the EDPS.

Budgetary and financial management: Members noted that in 2012, the Supervisor had a total of EUR 7.624 million in commitment appropriations, and that the implementation rate of those appropriations was 89.69%, an increase since 2011). They found this a positive development but called for further efforts to improve the implementation rate and for the changes made to be monitored.

EDPS's framework action: Members also made a series of observations on the daily management of the EDPS and called for:

further improvements regarding the monitoring of allowances and performance levels;

- an assessment of the financial impact of structural changes made recently and the implementation of electronic system of case management;
- continued implementation of the recommendations made by the Internal Audit Service (IAS) of the Commission;
- the inclusion of an exhaustive table of all the human resources at the Supervisor's disposal, broken down by category, grade, sex and nationality in the next annual activity report;
- the strengthening of cooperation with other institutions to develop a unified methodology of presenting the translation costs.

2012 discharge: EU general budget, European Data Protection Supervisor

2013/2204(DEC) - 03/04/2014 - Final act

PURPOSE: to grant discharge to the European Data Protection Supervisor for the financial year 2012.

NON-LEGISLATIVE ACT: Decision 2014/558/EU of the European Parliament on discharge in respect of the implementation of the European Union's General Budget, section IX – European Data Protection Supervisor, for the financial year 2012.

CONTENT: with the present decision, the European Parliament grants discharge to the European Data Protection Supervisor in respect of the implementation of the budget for the financial year 2012.

The decision is in line with the European Parliament's resolution adopted on 3 April 2014 and comprises a series of observations that form an integral part of the discharge decision (please refer to the summary of the opinion of 3 April 2014).

Amongst the main observations made, Parliament invited the Supervisor to continue to **monitor the allowances management and improve its performance levels**.