

## Basic information

**2016/0403(COD)**

COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure)  
Regulation

European services e-card and related administrative facilities

Amending Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 [2011/0226\(COD\)](#)

See also Directive 2006/123/EC [2004/0001\(COD\)](#)

### Subject

2.40 Free movement of services, freedom to provide

2.80 Cooperation between administrations

Procedure lapsed or withdrawn

## Key players

Council of the European Union

European Commission

Commission DG

Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs

Commissioner

BIEŃKOWSKA Elżbieta

## Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
10/01/2017	Legislative proposal published	<a href="#">COM(2016)0824</a> 	<a href="#">Summary</a>
01/02/2017	Committee referral announced in Parliament, 1st reading		
23/04/2021	Proposal withdrawn by Commission		

## Technical information

Procedure reference	2016/0403(COD)
Procedure type	COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure)
Procedure subtype	Legislation
Legislative instrument	Regulation
Amendments and repeals	Amending Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 <a href="#">2011/0226(COD)</a> See also Directive 2006/123/EC <a href="#">2004/0001(COD)</a>
Legal basis	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union TFEU 114
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure lapsed or withdrawn
Committee dossier	IMCO/9/00221

## Documentation gateway

European Commission

Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
Legislative proposal	COM(2016)0824 	10/01/2017	<a href="#">Summary</a>
Document attached to the procedure	SWD(2016)0439 	11/01/2017	
Document attached to the procedure	SWD(2016)0442 	11/01/2017	

#### National parliaments

Document type	Parliament /Chamber	Reference	Date	Summary
Contribution	<a href="#">PT_PARLIAMENT</a>	COM(2016)0824	15/03/2017	
Contribution	<a href="#">ES_PARLIAMENT</a>	COM(2016)0824	24/03/2017	
Reasoned opinion	<a href="#">DE_BUNDESTAG</a>	PE602.786	31/03/2017	
Reasoned opinion	<a href="#">AT_BUNDES RAT</a>	PE602.792	03/04/2017	
Contribution	<a href="#">DE_BUNDES RAT</a>	COM(2016)0824	04/04/2017	
Contribution	<a href="#">DE_BUNDESTAG</a>	COM(2016)0824	30/05/2017	

#### Other institutions and bodies

Institution/body	Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
EESC	Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report	<a href="#">CES0729/2017</a>	31/05/2017	
CofR	Committee of the Regions: opinion	<a href="#">CDR1195/2017</a>	11/10/2017	

#### Additional information

Source	Document	Date
European Commission	EUR-Lex	

## European services e-card and related administrative facilities

2016/0403(COD) - 10/01/2017 - Legislative proposal

**PURPOSE:** to introduce a European services e-card and related administrative facilities in order to reduce administrative complexity for service providers that want to expand their activities to other Member States.

**PROPOSED ACT:** Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council.

**ROLE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT:** the European Parliament decides in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure and on an equal footing with the Council.

**BACKGROUND:** with services accounting for around **70% of EU GDP and employment**, promoting the competitiveness of EU services markets is central for the creation of jobs and growth in the EU. The [Services Directive](#), adopted in 2006, set general provisions facilitating the establishment of service providers and their ability to offer services cross-border in the single market.

Service providers trying to establish a permanent presence in another Member State or to provide cross-border services on a temporary basis often find it difficult to understand which rules to apply and how. **Administrative formalities** in different Member States are often complicated and costly for service providers to complete. As a consequence, service providers face multiple and disproportionate compliance costs when going cross-border.

The proposal for a European services e-card – presented jointly with a [Directive](#) - is complementary to other policy initiatives in the context of services announced in the [Single Market Strategy](#) to prevent the introduction of barriers to cross-border service provision at national level.

According to the Commission, cost savings related to the formalities covered by the e-card procedure would be significant compared to the existing situation, potentially going up to 50% or even more.

**IMPACT ASSESSMENT:** the combination of options withheld would allow the service provider to make use of an **EU-level procedure** to facilitate access to the market of another Member State, including an advanced electronic mechanism connected to the [Internal Market Information System \(IMI\)](#) to facilitate compliance with formalities for posted staff which the host Member State can choose to make use of. In addition, it would address practical obstacles related to insurance in cross-border situations.

**CONTENT:** the proposed Regulation seeks to introduce the **European services e-card and related administrative facilities** which can be used by providers to provide services across the border. Through the e-card they will be able to avoid administrative obstacles such as uncertainty as to which requirements apply, filling-in disparate forms in foreign languages, translating, certifying or authenticating documents and non-electronic procedural steps.

The proposal provides for the following:

- **where a service provider plans to provide a service temporarily cross-border**, the e-card would be issued by the home Member State. The host Member States would be able to object to issuance of the e-card where the Services Directive already allows them to do so under one of the overriding reasons of public interest. Once issued, the e-card would allow the service provider to provide services on a temporary cross-border basis in the host Member State;
- **where a service provider plans to provide services through a branch, agency or office in another Member State**, the e-card is issued by the host Member State. In this case the service provider would still request the e-card with his home country authorities, who would check that the service provider is established on its territory in line with its applicable rules. But in a second step, the home Member States authorities would initiate a process with the relevant host country administration to allow the latter to verify if the requesting service provider meets its host country regulatory requirements in compliance with the Services Directive.

The European services e-card would also:

- offer technical facilities to facilitate compliance with administrative formalities related to **posting of staff** into the territory of those Member States that have communicated to the Commission that they wish to **make use of IMI** for this purpose;
- include rules to facilitate obtaining **insurance coverage** for services provided across borders.

The European services e-card would apply – in a first stage – to **business services and construction services** – to the extent the related activities fall already under the Services Directive.

This proposal also includes **review clauses** for future consideration of the effectiveness of the European service e-card, including as regards compliance with the formalities necessary for the posting of workers.

**BUDGETARY IMPLICATIONS:** the proposal is expected to have implications for the EU budget to the extent that the future European services e-card will use the Internal Market Information System (IMI) as its operational backbone. Member States already have the experience with the system, as more than 5 000 competent authorities are already registered with IMI since 2011 and with the [European Professional Card \(EPC\)](#) since January 2016.

It is now necessary to adapt the IMI system to support the European services e-card procedure and storage requirements and supplemented with some additional functions. Any necessary allocations will be met through **redeployment**; no budgetary impact is expected on EU budget over and beyond the appropriations already foreseen in the official financial programming of the Commission.