Basic information

2018/2004(INI) INI - Own-initiative procedure	Procedure completed
Cyber defence **Subject** 3.30.07 Cybersecurity, cyberspace policy 6.10.02 Common security and defence policy (CSDP); WEU, NATO	

Key players

European Parliament

Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
AFET Foreign Affairs	PAET Urmas (ALDE)	16/01/2018
	Shadow rapporteur LÓPEZ-ISTÚRIZ WHITE Antonio (PPE) MOODY Clare (S&D) FOTYGA Anna (ECR) COUSO PERMUY Javier (GUE/NGL) TARAND Indrek (Verts/ALE) CASTALDO Fabio Massimo (EFDD) SCHAFFHAUSER Jean-Luc (ENF)	

European Commission

Commission DG	Commissioner
Secretariat-General	TIMMERMANS Frans

Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
18/01/2018	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
16/05/2018	Vote in committee		
25/05/2018	Committee report tabled for plenary	A8-0189/2018	Summary
12/06/2018	Debate in Parliament		
13/06/2018	Decision by Parliament	T8-0258/2018	Summary

13/06/2018	Results of vote in Parliament		
13/06/2018	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2018/2004(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 55
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	AFET/8/11990

Documentation gateway

European Parliament

Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee draft report		PE618.310	27/02/2018	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE620.817	11/04/2018	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A8-0189/2018	25/05/2018	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T8-0258/2018	13/06/2018	Summary

Cyber defence

2018/2004(INI) - 13/06/2018 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted by 476 votes to 151 with 36 abstentions, a resolution on cyber defence.

The EU and the Member States face an unprecedented threat in the form of politically motivated, state-sponsored cyber-attacks as well as cyber-crime and terrorism. Given its **current vulnerability** mainly due to the fragmentation of European defence strategies, there is an urgent need to **strengthen the EU's capabilities** in the field of cyber defence.

Capability development for cyber defence: Parliament underlined that a common cyber defence policy should constitute **core elements** in the development of the European Defence Union (EDU). It called for a coherent development of cyber capacities across all EU institutions and bodies, as well as in the Member States.

Members urged the Member States to **cooperate closely in the development of their respective cyber defence**, using a clear roadmap, with a view to better streamlining cyber defence structures across the Member States. A **European secure network** for critical information and infrastructure should be developed.

Member States were urged to make the best possible use of the framework provided by the **Permanent Structured Cooperation** (PESCO) and the **European Defence Fund** to propose cooperation projects.

Members welcomed the two cyber projects to be launched in the framework of PESCO, namely the Cyber Threats and Incident Response Information Sharing Platform and the Cyber Rapid Response Teams and Mutual Assistance in Cyber Security. They hoped it would lead to the creation of a **European cyber rapid response team**, which would coordinate, detect and counter collective cyber threats.

Education and training: Parliament called on the EU and the Member States to strengthen their cooperation in education, training and exercises. It strongly support the **Military Erasmus Programme** and other common training and exchange initiatives among young military personnel. It stressed the need to **strengthen awareness and expertise** in the field of cybersecurity. All Member States should inform, educate and advise businesses, schools and citizens about cybersecurity and the major digital threats.

EU-NATO cooperation on cyber defence: the Council was called on to consider ways of providing, at soon as possible, Union-level support for **integrating the cyber domain into Member States military doctrines**, in a harmonised manner and in close cooperation with NATO. Members were convinced of the importance of increased cooperation between the EU and NATO as a means of preventing, detecting and deterring cyber attacks.

International norms: Members called for mainstreaming cyber defence capabilities into the CFSP and the external action of the EU and its Member States and called for **closer coordination** on cyber defence between the Member States, the EU institutions, NATO, the United Nations, the United States and other strategic partners, in particular as regards rules, norms and enforcement measures in cyber space. Member States should further implement the common and comprehensive EU approach to cyber diplomacy and existing cyber norms, and to draw up, together with NATO, **EU-level criteria** for, and definitions of what constitutes, a cyber-attack so as to improve the EU's ability to quickly come to a common position following an internationally wrongful act in the form of a cyber-attack.

Civil-military cooperation: Parliament called on all stakeholders to reinforce knowledge transfer partnerships, implement appropriate business models in order to create synergies and port solutions between the civilian and military markets – in essence **a European single market for cyber security and cyber-security products**, with the view to preserving and strengthening the EU's strategic autonomy.

Member States should further support the European cyber security industry and reduce the administrative burden, particular for SMEs and to promote closer cooperation with university research organisations with a view to reducing dependencies on cyber security products from external sources and to creating a strategic supply chain inside the EU to enhance its strategic autonomy.

The resolution also called for:

- a roadmap for a coordinated approach to European cyber defence;
- international cooperation and multilateral initiatives to build stringent cyber defence and cyber security frameworks to counter state capture by corruption, financial fraud, money laundering, the financing of terrorism;
- tackle the challenges posed by cyber terrorism and by crypto currencies and other alternative payment methods.

At the institutional level, Parliament suggested that the Member States launch a new PESCO cyber cooperative programme with a view to supporting quick and effective planning, command and control of present and future EU operations and missions. This should lead to better coordination of operational capacities in cyber space, and may lead to the development of a **common cyber defence command** when the European Council so decides.

Cyber defence

2018/2004(INI) - 25/05/2018 - Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading

The Committee on Foreign Affairs adopted the own-initiative report by Urmas PAET (ALDE, EE) on cyber defence.

The EU and the Member States face an unprecedented threat in the form of politically motivated, state-sponsored cyber-attacks as well as cyber-crime and terrorism. Given its current **vulnerability** mainly due to the fragmentation of European defence strategies, there is an urgent need to **strengthen the EU's capabilities** in the field of cyber defence.

Capability development for cyber defence: the report underlined that a **common cyber defence policy** should constitute core elements in the development of the European Defence Union (EDU). It called for a coherent development of cyber capacities across all EU institutions and bodies, as well as in the Member States, and for providing needed political and practical solutions to overcoming the remaining political, legislative and organisational obstacles to cooperation on cyber defence.

Members urged the Member States to cooperate closely in the development of their respective cyber defence, using a **clear roadmap**, thereby feeding into a process coordinated by the Commission, the European External Action Service (EEAS) and the European Defence Agency (EDA) with a view to better streamlining cyber defence structures across the Member States. A European secure network for critical information and infrastructure should be developed.

Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Fund (EDF): these are new initiatives with the necessary scope to foster an ecosystem that can provide opportunities for SMEs and start-up companies, and to facilitate cooperative projects in the cyber defence domain, and both will contribute to shape the regulatory and institutional framework. Member States are urged to make the best possible use of the framework provided by PESCO and the EDF to propose cooperation projects. They welcomed two cyber projects to be launched, namely an information-sharing platform for cyber incidents and cyber rapid response teams. They hope it will lead to the creation of a European cyber rapid response team, which would coordinate, detect and counter collective cyber threats.

Education and training: the report noted that a **streamlined EU cyber defence education and training landscape** would significantly mitigate threats. Members strongly support the **Military Erasmus Programme** and other common training and exchange initiatives aimed at enhancing the interoperability of the armed forces of the Member States and the development of a common strategic culture through an increased exchange of young military personnel. Further **awareness raising and expertise** in the area of cyber security is needed.

EU-NATO cooperation on cyber defence: the Council is called on to consider ways of providing, at soon as possible, Union-level support for integrating the cyber domain into Member States military doctrines, in a harmonised manner and in close cooperation with NATO. Members are convinced that increased cooperation between EU and NATO is important and useful in the area of cyber defence as a means to prevent, detect and deter cyber-attacks.

International norms: Members called for mainstreaming cyber defence capabilities into the CFSP and the external action of the EU and its Member States and called for closer coordination on cyber defence between the Member States, the EU institutions, NATO, the United Nations, the United

States and other strategic partners, in particular as regards rules, norms and enforcement measures in cyber space. Member States should further implement the common and comprehensive EU approach to cyber diplomacy and existing cyber norms, and to draw up, together with NATO, **EU-level criteria** for, and definitions of what constitutes, a cyber-attack so as to improve the EU's ability to quickly come to a common position following an internationally wrongful act in the form of a cyber-attack.

Civil-military cooperation: noting the pivotal role that **private cyber-security firms** play in early warning and attribution of cyber-attacks, Members called on all stakeholders to reinforce knowledge transfer partnerships, implement appropriate business models and develop trust between companies and defence and civilian end-users. More practical support should be given to the European cyber security industry and other relevant economic actors, to reduce bureaucratic burdens, in particular for SMEs and to promote closer cooperation with university research organisations with a view to reducing dependencies on cyber security products from external sources and to creating a strategic supply chain inside the EU to enhance its strategic autonomy.

In this regard, Members encouraged the Commission to integrate cyber defence elements into a network of European cybersecurity competence and research centres, also in view of providing sufficient resources to dual use cyber capabilities and technologies within the next MFF.

The report also called for:

- a **roadmap** for a coordinated approach to European cyber defence;
- international cooperation and multilateral initiatives to build stringent cyber defence and cyber security frameworks to counter state capture by corruption, financial fraud, money laundering, the financing of terrorism;
- tackle the challenges posed by cyber terrorism and by cryptocurrencies and other alternative payment methods.

Institutional reinforcement: Members called for:

- the Member States to engage in more ambitious cooperation in the cyber domain within PESCO;
- the Member States and the VP/HR to present an **EU white book on security and defence**;
- the creation of an EU Council on Defence;
- the European Defence Fund to be maintained or even boosted in the next MFF, with a sufficient budget earmarked for cyber defence;
- increased resources to modernise and streamline cyber security and intelligence dissemination between the EEAS/European Union Intelligence and Situation Centre (INTCEN), the Council and the Commission.