Basic information

2018/2114(INI) INI - Own-initiative procedure	Procedure completed
Implementation of the legal provisions and the joint statement ensuring the parliamentary scrutiny over decentralised agencies **Subject** 8.40.01 European Parliament 8.40.08 Agencies and bodies of the EU	

Key players

European Parliament

Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
AFCO Constitutional Affairs	SCHÖPFLIN György (PPE)	11/06/2018
	Shadow rapporteur BRESSO Mercedes (S&D) MESSERSCHMIDT Morten (ECR) PAGAZAURTUNDÚA Maite (ALDE) ANDERSSON Max (Verts/ALE)	

Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
BUDG Budgets	GEIER Jens (S&D)	16/05/2018
CONT Budgetary Control	DE JONG Dennis (GUE/NGL)	15/05/2018
ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs	SIMON Peter (S&D)	31/05/2018
ENVI Environment, Public Health and Food Safety	BELET Ivo (PPE)	29/05/2018
JURI Legal Affairs	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
LIBE Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs	GRAPINI Maria (S&D)	15/10/2018

European Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner
	Secretariat-General	TIMMERMANS Frans

Key events

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
14/06/2018	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
29/01/2019	Vote in committee		
30/01/2019	Committee report tabled for plenary	A8-0055/2019	Summary
14/02/2019	Decision by Parliament	T8-0134/2019	Summary
14/02/2019	Results of vote in Parliament		
14/02/2019	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information

Procedure reference	2018/2114(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Implementation
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 55
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 165
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	AFCO/8/13391

Documentation gateway

European Parliament

Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee draft report		PE630.622	21/11/2018	
Committee opinion	BUDG	PE628.606	11/12/2018	
Committee opinion	ECON	PE628.399	12/12/2018	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE632.170	18/12/2018	
Committee opinion	CONT	PE625.391	08/01/2019	
Committee opinion	ENVI	PE628.575	22/01/2019	
Committee opinion	LIBE	PE631.991	24/01/2019	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A8-0055/2019	30/01/2019	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T8-0134/2019	14/02/2019	Summary

European Commission			
Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	SP(2019)327	17/07/2019	

Implementation of the legal provisions and the joint statement ensuring the parliamentary scrutiny over decentralised agencies

2018/2114(INI) - 14/02/2019 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted by 422 votes to 21, with 64 abstentions, a resolution on the implementation of the legal provisions and the Joint Statement ensuring parliamentary scrutiny over decentralised agencies.

Agencies play a vital role in the implementation of EU policies at European and national levels, performing a wide variety of tasks to contribute to the implementation of EU policies, such as creating networks or supporting cooperation between the EU and national authorities.

Agencies are primarily accountable to Parliament and the Council, which must ensure that adequate scrutiny mechanisms are in place in the legislative acts governing those agencies and that those mechanisms are subsequently properly implemented.

The 2012 Joint Statement and the Common Approach are the result of the work of the Interinstitutional Working Group on regulatory agencies, which was set up by the Commission, the European Parliament and the Council to assess the coherence, effectiveness, accountability and transparency of agencies.

Revised Common Approach

While noting the non-binding nature of the Joint Statement and the Common Approach, Parliament considered that greater efforts could be made to streamline certain provisions (the Common Approach) in the founding regulations of agencies relating to their governance and accountability mechanisms, taking into account the various types of agencies that currently exist and defining the general principles governing the relationship between the institutions of the EU and the agencies.

Parliament called for a thorough assessment of the implementation of the Common Approach in all its aspects, reviewing in particular the compatibility of the provisions included with Parliament's co-decision and scrutiny powers, while taking account of the need to allow for flexibility in view of the diverse landscape of decentralised agencies.

Members regretted that Parliament, as the lead guarantor of respect for the principle of democracy in the EU, was not fully involved in the procedure to select the new seat of EMA and EBA. They expect the prerogatives of Parliament and Council as co-legislators to be fully respected in future decisions on the location or relocation of agencies.

Parliament should be systematically involved, throughout the legislative process and on equal terms with the Council and the Commission, in defining and assessing the weight of the criteria for the location of all Union bodies and agencies, in a transparent manner.

IIA

Members proposed that, on the basis of a review of the Common Approach, fresh consideration should be given to drawing up an Interinstitutional Agreement (IIA) on agencies and that such agreement should contain provisions on a five-yearly review of the principles governing the establishment and functioning of agencies, drawing upon the expertise of a group of eminent persons.

They considered that this IIA should respect the European Parliament's powers in co-decision procedures and should also cover the relationship between an agency and the institutions of the Member State in which it is located.

In drafting this IIA, several specific suggestions to strengthen democratic oversight, improve the accountability of Union agencies and strengthen the system for reporting to Parliament should also be addressed, such as:

- setting a time limit for agencies to reply to questions addressed to them;

- making arrangements for the sharing of sensitive and confidential information;

- considering whether there should or should not be a specific number of members of the respective Management Boards appointed by Parliament;

- considering the added value of attendance by Parliament representatives/observers at meetings of boards of supervisors and agency stakeholder groups;

- streamlining Parliament's involvement in the annual and multi-annual work programmes of the agencies;

- streamlining and harmonising reporting obligations;

- informing Parliament in a detailed manner of the measures taken to meet the recommendations of the discharge authority (follow-up reports) and those of the Court of Auditors;

- improving regular contact between parliamentary committees and the agencies under their responsibility.

Budgetary matters

Noting that fee-financing of agencies currently amounts to around EUR 1 billion annually, Parliament expressed concern at the potential conflicts of interest that can arise if agencies have to rely on membership fees as their main source of income.

It stressed the need to take into account the new climate, sustainability and environmental protection priorities within the next multi-annual financial framework (MFF) and the tasks attributed to particular agencies for the implementation of this MFF.

Members noted that agencies' budgets should be prepared in accordance with the principle of performance-based budgeting, taking into account the agency's objectives and the expected results of its tasks. A thematic approach to the budgeting of decentralised agencies is more appropriate in order to better prioritise the agencies' tasks, boost cooperation and avoid overlaps, particularly in the case of agencies working within the same policy field.

Auditing of the decentralised agencies 'remains under the full responsibility of the Court of Auditors. However, auditing carried out by private sector auditors has significantly increased the administrative burden on the agencies and has, as a result of the time spent on the procurement and administration of audit contracts, created additional expenditure, putting their diminishing resources under even greater strain. It is necessary to resolve this issue.

Implementation of the legal provisions and the joint statement ensuring the parliamentary scrutiny over decentralised agencies

2018/2114(INI) - 30/01/2019 - Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading

The Committee on Constitutional Affairs adopted the own-initiative report by György SCHÖPFLIN (EPP, HU) on the implementation of the legal provisions and the Joint Statement ensuring parliamentary scrutiny over decentralised agencies.

Agencies play a vital role in the implementation of EU policies at European and national levels, performing a wide variety of tasks to contribute to the implementation of EU policies, such as creating networks or supporting cooperation between the EU and national authorities.

Agencies are primarily accountable to Parliament and the Council, which must ensure that adequate scrutiny mechanisms are in place in the legislative acts governing those agencies and that those mechanisms are subsequently properly implemented.

The 2012 Joint Statement and the Common Approach are the result of the work of the Interinstitutional Working Group on regulatory agencies, which was set up by the Commission, the European Parliament and the Council to assess the coherence, effectiveness, accountability and transparency of agencies.

Revised Common Approach

Members considered that greater efforts could be made to streamline certain provisions (the Common Approach) in the founding regulations of agencies relating to their governance and accountability mechanisms, taking into account the various types of agencies that currently exist and defining the general principles governing the relationship between the institutions of the EU and the agencies.

The report called for a thorough assessment of the implementation of the Common Approach in all its aspects, reviewing in particular the compatibility of the provisions included with Parliament's co-decision and scrutiny powers, while taking account of the need to allow for flexibility in view of the diverse landscape of decentralised agencies.

Members regretted that Parliament, as the lead guarantor of respect for the principle of democracy in the EU, was not fully involved in the procedure to select the new seat of EMA and EBA. They expect the prerogatives of Parliament and Council as co-legislators to be fully respected in future decisions on the location or relocation of agencies.

Parliament should be systematically involved, throughout the legislative process and on equal terms with the Council and the Commission, in defining and assessing the weight of the criteria for the location of all Union bodies and agencies, in a transparent manner.

IIA

Members proposed that, on the basis of a review of the Common Approach, fresh consideration should be given to drawing up an Interinstitutional Agreement (IIA) on agencies and that such agreement should contain provisions on a five-yearly review of the principles governing the establishment and functioning of agencies, drawing upon the expertise of a group of eminent persons.

They considered that this IIA should respect the European Parliament's powers in co-decision procedures and should also cover the relationship between an agency and the institutions of the Member State in which it is located.

Budgetary matters

Noting that fee-financing of agencies currently amounts to around EUR 1 billion annually, Members expressed concern at the potential conflicts of interest that can arise if agencies have to rely on membership fees as their main source of income.

They stressed the need to take into account the new climate, sustainability and environmental protection priorities within the next multi-annual financial framework (MFF) and the tasks attributed to particular agencies for the implementation of this MFF.

Members noted that agencies' budgets should be prepared in accordance with the principle of performance-based budgeting, taking into account the agency's objectives and the expected results of its tasks. A thematic approach to the budgeting of decentralised agencies is more appropriate in order to better prioritise the agencies' tasks, boost cooperation and avoid overlaps, particularly in the case of agencies working within the same policy field.

Auditing of the decentralised agencies 'remains under the full responsibility of the Court of Auditors. However, auditing carried out by private sector auditors has significantly increased the administrative burden on the agencies and has, as a result of the time spent on the procurement and administration of audit contracts, created additional expenditure, putting their diminishing resources under even greater strain. It is necessary to resolve this issue.