

Basic information	
2020/2838(RSP)	Procedure completed
RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects	
Resolution on the draft Commission implementing decision authorising the placing on the market of products containing, consisting of or produced from genetically modified soybean SYHT0H2 (SYN-ØØØH2-5), pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council	
<b>Subject</b>	
3.10.09.06 Agro-genetics, GMOs	

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
11/11/2020	Decision by Parliament	T9-0292/2020	Summary
11/11/2020	Results of vote in Parliament		
11/11/2020	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2020/2838(RSP)
Procedure type	RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects
Procedure subtype	Resolution on implementing act or powers
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 115-p2
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	ENVI/9/04441

Documentation gateway				
European Parliament				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Motion for a resolution		B9-0349/2020	11/11/2020	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T9-0292/2020	11/11/2020	Summary
European Commission				
Document type	Reference		Date	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	SP(2021)32		22/03/2021	

# **Resolution on the draft Commission implementing decision authorising the placing on the market of products containing, consisting of or produced from genetically modified soybean SYHT0H2 (SYN-ØØØH2-5), pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council**

2020/2838(RSP) - 11/11/2020 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted by 476 votes to 178, with 25 abstentions, a resolution objecting to the draft Commission implementing decision authorising the placing on the market of products containing genetically modified soybean SYHT0H2 (SYN-ØØØH2-5).

The application for marketing authorisation was submitted to the German competent authority on 8 August 2012 by Syngenta Crop Protection NV/SA. On 20 January 2020, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) adopted a favourable opinion on the application.

## ***Main comments from Member States***

Members pointed out that Member States have submitted critical comments to EFSA highlighting in particular:

- the lack of analysis of herbicide residues on imports of genetically modified SYHT0H2 soybean and the possible health risks for consumers, the lack of toxicological information and, therefore, the impossibility of assessing the potential risk associated with the consumption of foodstuffs produced from genetically modified SYHT0H2 soybean;
- the fact that the information necessary to draw the conclusions of the environmental health risk assessment is not complete and the need to provide a detailed monitoring plan before an authorisation can be granted.

## ***Compliance with EU international obligations***

Members recalled that Regulation (EU) No 1829/2003 obliges the Commission, when preparing its decisions, to take into account legitimate factors including the Union's obligations under the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the Paris Agreement on Climate Change and the UN Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).

Parliament also reiterated its concern that the EU's high dependence on imports of animal feed in the form of soybeans is causing deforestation abroad.

In view of the urgent need to combat deforestation in the Amazon, Cerrado and Gran Chaco forests, Members called on the Commission to immediately suspend imports of GM soya grown in Brazil and Argentina until legally binding and effective mechanisms are put in place to prevent the placing on the EU market of products linked to deforestation and associated human rights violations.

## ***Undemocratic decision-making***

Members recalled that in the vote held on 15 September 2020, the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health delivered no opinion, meaning that the authorisation was not supported by a qualified majority of Member States.

The Commission has repeatedly deplored the fact that decisions on the authorisation of GMOs continue to be adopted by the Commission without a qualified majority of Member States being in favour, which is very much the exception for product authorisations as a whole, but has become the norm for decisions on GM food and feed authorisations.

## ***Recommendations***

Based on these considerations, Parliament considered that the draft Commission implementing decision was not compatible with EU law. Consequently, it called on the Commission to:

- withdraw its draft implementing decision;
- make progress on the development of sustainability criteria, with full involvement of the Parliament;
- take into account the EU's obligations under international agreements, such as the Paris Climate Agreement, the UN Convention on Biological Diversity and the UN sustainable development goals;
- not to authorise herbicide-tolerant GM crops until the health risks from residues have been thoroughly investigated on a case-by-case basis;
- take into account the risk assessment of the use of complementary herbicides and their residues in the risk assessment of herbicide-tolerant GM crops, whether the plant concerned is intended to be grown in the EU or imported into the EU as food or feed;
- not to authorise the import of genetically modified plants intended for food or feed use which have been rendered tolerant to an active substance with a weed-killing effect not authorised in the EU.

Parliament reiterated its call for a European vegetable protein production and supply strategy, which would enable the Union to become less dependent on GM soybean imports and to create shorter food chains and regional markets.