



Basic information	
<b>2022/2046(INI)</b> INI - Own-initiative procedure	Procedure completed
Upscaling the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework: a resilient EU budget fit for new challenges  <b>Subject</b>  8.70.01 Financing of the budget, own resources 8.70.02 Financial regulations	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible		Rapporteur
	<div>BUDG</div> Budgets		OLBRYCHT Jan (EPP) MARQUES Margarida (S&D)
			19/04/2022 19/04/2022
			Shadow rapporteur HAYER Valérie (Renew) ANDRESEN Rasmus (Greens /EFA) ŽILE Roberts (ECR) PAPADIMOULIS Dimitrios (The Left)
	Committee for opinion		Rapporteur for opinion
	<div>AFET</div> Foreign Affairs		GAHLER Michael (EPP)
			11/05/2022
	<div>DEVE</div> Development		GOERENS Charles (Renew)
			07/06/2022
	<div>CONT</div> Budgetary Control		SARVAMAA Petri (EPP)
			09/06/2022
	<div>EMPL</div> Employment and Social Affairs		Chair on behalf of committee PÎSLARU Dragoș (Renew)
			19/05/2022
	<div>ENVI</div> Environment, Public Health and Food Safety		Chair on behalf of committee CANFIN Pascal (Renew)
			13/06/2022
	<div>ITRE</div> Industry, Research and Energy		Chair on behalf of committee BUȘOI Cristian-Silviu (EPP)
			17/05/2022

	<b>IMCO</b> Internal Market and Consumer Protection	Chair on behalf of committee CAVAZZINI Anna (Greens /EFA)	16/06/2022
	<b>TRAN</b> Transport and Tourism	GARCÍA MUÑOZ Isabel (S&D)	30/05/2022
	<b>REGI</b> Regional Development	KEFALOGIANNIS Emmanouil (EPP)	10/05/2022
	<b>AGRI</b> Agriculture and Rural Development	OLEKAS Juozas (S&D)	07/06/2022
	<b>CULT</b> Culture and Education	NEGRESCU Victor (S&D)	01/06/2022
	<b>AFCO</b> Constitutional Affairs	VINCZE Loránt (EPP)	20/06/2022
European Commission	<b>Commission DG</b>		<b>Commissioner</b>
	Budget		HAHN Johannes

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
09/06/2022	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
17/11/2022	Vote in committee		
22/11/2022	Committee report tabled for plenary	A9-0281/2022	Summary
14/12/2022	Debate in Parliament		
15/12/2022	Decision by Parliament	T9-0450/2022	Summary
15/12/2022	Results of vote in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2022/2046(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 55
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 165
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed

Documentation gateway				
European Parliament				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee opinion	AFET	PE734.175	13/09/2022	
Committee draft report		PE734.412	16/09/2022	
Specific opinion	IMCO	PE734.299	26/09/2022	
Specific opinion	ENVI	PE734.372	04/10/2022	
Committee opinion	CULT	PE735.481	04/10/2022	
Committee opinion	CONT	PE734.341	07/10/2022	
Committee opinion	REGI	PE734.119	10/10/2022	
Committee opinion	TRAN	PE732.823	11/10/2022	
Committee opinion	DEVE	PE735.495	13/10/2022	
Specific opinion	ITRE	PE736.622	14/10/2022	
Committee opinion	EMPL	PE736.695	14/10/2022	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE737.331	14/10/2022	
Committee opinion	AFCO	PE732.804	21/10/2022	
Committee opinion	AGRI	PE734.167	26/10/2022	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A9-0281/2022	22/11/2022	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T9-0450/2022	15/12/2022	Summary

## Meetings with interest representatives published in line with the Rules of Procedure

### Rapporteurs, Shadow Rapporteurs and Committee Chairs

Transparency				
Name	Role	Committee	Date	Interest representatives
ANDRESEN Rasmus	Shadow rapporteur	BUDG	30/11/2022	Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.

## Upscaling the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework: a resilient EU budget fit for new challenges

2022/2046(INI) - 15/12/2022 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted by 366 votes to 128, with 55 abstentions, a resolution on upscaling the 2021-2027 multiannual financial framework: a resilient EU budget fit for new challenges.

Since the adoption of the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) in December 2020, the political, economic and social context has changed beyond recognition, starting with the unprecedented scale and dramatic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic, which is not yet over. Moreover, Russia's unprovoked and unjustified invasion of Ukraine has led to a major humanitarian crisis and has triggered an enormous economic and social shock of uncertain duration worldwide.

### ***New challenges and shocks revealing shortcomings in the current MFF***

Members called on the Commission to propose a revision of the current MFF focused primarily on addressing the consequences of the war against Ukraine and endowing the Union with adequate flexibility to respond to crises. The resolution stressed the shared Union goals of delivering on the European Green Deal, the digital transition and the European Pillar of Social Rights, scaling up defence cooperation and coordination, improving its strategic autonomy and energy independence and security, reducing energy poverty, improving the cost of living, ensuring global food security, and addressing the challenges caused by high inflation.

The current MFF has already been pushed to its limits less than two years after its adoption, a situation aggravated by the unforeseeable events of 2022. Parliament is very concerned that the current MFF leaves the Union ill-equipped to respond to any potential future crises and needs and to fulfil its strategic role in the international arena.

### ***Increased financial capacity and greater democratic accountability***

The Commission is called on to proceed with a legislative proposal for a comprehensive, ambitious revision of the MFF regulation and its annex as soon as possible and no later than the first quarter of 2023. The MFF revision must not lead to any downwards revision of the pre-allocated national envelopes or EU programmes.

Members stressed the need for an **upscaled MFF to ensure a stronger and more agile EU budget** which meets the highest standards of transparency and democratic accountability. They request therefore, an increase in the MFF ceilings, as well as an increase in and redesign of budgetary flexibility. Parliament stressed the importance of the horizontal principles that underpin the MFF and all related EU policies, in particular with regard to delivering on the Union's climate and biodiversity targets and promoting gender equality. The revision must keep these principles at the heart of the MFF.

### ***Heading 1: Single Market, Innovation and Digital***

Parliament called for an increase in the ceiling of Heading 1 on the grounds that the margins are insufficient to accommodate the greater needs and because it opposes the use of agreed programme envelopes to finance new initiatives. It emphasised the key role that funding under Horizon Europe, Digital Europe, the Single Market Programme and the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) play in stimulating innovation, supporting businesses and driving the green and digital transitions.

It looks forward to the Commission's proposal for the new **European Sovereignty Fund** intended to secure the strategic autonomy of the Union and reduce dependence on non-EU countries in key sectors.

### ***Heading 2a: Cohesion***

Members called for the agreed financing under Heading 2a not to be undermined and for it to be preserved for its intended purpose. They are concerned that cohesion policy is increasingly being used to reinforce other policies and to make up for shortcomings in budgetary flexibility or crisis response mechanisms in the MFF. They are of the opinion that the existing possibility of transfers from the cohesion policy funds to other programmes of up to 5 % of the initial allocation provides for sufficient flexibility. They stressed that the MFF revision must not lead to any downwards revision of the pre-allocated national envelopes.

Parliament reiterated its call for an urgent increase in funding for the **European Child Guarantee** with a dedicated budget of at least EUR 20 billion for the period 2021-2027 and insisted on making this dedicated budget part of the revised MFF and reinforced ESF+.

### ***Heading 2b: Resilience and Values***

The report called for the budget line dedicated to the repayment of EURI borrowing costs to be removed from Heading 2b and placed outside the headings, and for those costs to be counted over and above the MFF ceilings. It regretted that the costs of EURI borrowing and the repayment of debt have been included as a budget line under Heading 2b for the 2021-2027 period, alongside flagship programmes such as Erasmus+, EU4Health, Creative Europe and Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values.

Members stressed the vital importance of the EU4Health programme and of the Union education, culture, youth and values programmes in supporting the relevant sectors in the wake of the pandemic and combatting disinformation and emphasised that the European Social Fund+ is one of the key drivers for strengthening the social dimension of the Union. They also regretted that Erasmus+, as a programme with relatively stable year-on-year demand, has a heavily backloaded financial profile in the current MFF.

### ***Heading 3: Natural Resources and Environment***

Members called for the ceiling of Heading 3 to be adjusted as soon as possible to fully accommodate the **Social Climate Fund** (SCF), while agreed financing under Heading 3 should not be undermined and be preserved for its intended purpose.

The resolution highlighted the importance of the common agricultural policy (CAP) in providing reliable support for farmers to enhance food security. It recalled the key role played by the LIFE programme in supporting climate action, nature conservation and environmental protection.

### ***Heading 4: Migration and Border Management***

According to Members, this heading should be increased to reflect current reality and the real financing needs of the Union's migration and border management policies and programmes. The war against Ukraine and the subsequent decision to trigger the Temporary Protection Directive will entail a longer-term financial commitment to support Member States, placing unexpected demands on the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF), the Border Management and Visa Instrument (BMVI) and creating additional responsibilities for the decentralised agencies in Heading 4.

#### ***Heading 5: Security and Defence***

Parliament called for an increase in the ceiling of Heading 5 and for a swift revision of the MFF to **increase EU defence instruments** such as the European Defence Fund, military mobility and future joint procurement mechanisms for EU defence, namely the European Defence Industry Reinforcement through common Procurement Act and the European Defence Investment Programme, provided that they reinforce the EU's Defence Technological and Industrial Base and ensure European added value.

#### ***Heading 6: Neighbourhood and the World***

Members called for an increase in the ceiling of Heading 6 to fully cover current and projected future needs in the Union's external action and to create sufficient capacity to respond to crises and emerging needs. They deplored that, even prior to the war against Ukraine, funds available under Heading 6 were inadequate and that pressure on this heading has since increased substantially.

Given the rapid deterioration of the international context since the beginning of the MFF, both in neighbouring countries and beyond, as a result of the food, energy, climate and economic crises, Parliament considers that enhanced crisis response is essential given the multitude of global challenges.

Pointing out that humanitarian aid under heading 6, after many reinforcements, has been stretched to its limits, Members stressed that enhanced funding for humanitarian aid (HUMA) and the rapid response pillar of NDICI-Global Europe are essential if the EU is not only to provide aid to Ukraine, but also to support communities and regions in need. Members insisted that support for other candidate countries, especially in the Western Balkans, should be maintained at its current level.

The Commission is also called on to ensure the Union lives up to its international climate commitments and in particular to provide funding to international climate finance and the relevant programmes under NDICI-Global Europe.

#### ***Adoption of the MFF***

Members underlined that the unanimity requirement for adoption of the MFF Regulation impedes the necessary decisions in the revision process. They consider that the **ordinary legislative procedure** should apply to the adoption of the MFF Regulation and to the Own Resources Decision so that Parliament acquires the full budgetary prerogatives enjoyed by national parliaments. Parliament called on the European Council to activate the passerelle clause set out in Article 312(2) TFEU to **expedite decision-making**.

Lastly, Parliament reaffirmed its long-standing position that **own resources reform** is needed to better align the revenue side of the EU budget with the broader strategic priorities of the Union. It therefore expects the basket of new own resources not only to secure additional income flows, but also to help address pressing policy issues in an economic environment marked by inflation and high energy prices.

## **Upscaling the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework: a resilient EU budget fit for new challenges**

2022/2046(INI) - 22/11/2022 - Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading

The Committee on Budgets adopted the own-initiative report by Jan OLBRYCHT (EPP, PL) and Margarida MARQUES (S&D, PT) on upscaling the 2021-2027 multiannual financial framework: a resilient EU budget fit for new challenges.

Since the adoption of the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) in December 2020, the political, economic and social context has changed beyond recognition, starting with the unprecedented scale and dramatic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic, which is not yet over. Moreover, Russia's unprovoked and unjustified invasion of Ukraine has led to a major humanitarian crisis and has triggered an enormous economic and social shock of uncertain duration worldwide.

According to the Commission, the unforeseen needs created by war in Europe are well beyond the means available in the current multiannual financial framework, necessitating new financing sources.

#### ***New challenges and shocks revealing shortcomings in the current MFF***

Members called on the Commission to propose a revision of the current MFF focused primarily on addressing the consequences of the war against Ukraine and endowing the Union with adequate flexibility to respond to crises. The report stressed the shared Union goals of delivering on the European Green Deal, the digital transition and the European Pillar of Social Rights, scaling up defence cooperation and coordination, improving its strategic autonomy and energy independence and security, reducing energy poverty, improving the cost of living, ensuring global food security, and addressing the challenges caused by high inflation.

#### ***Increased financial capacity and greater democratic accountability***

The Commission is called on to proceed with a legislative proposal for a comprehensive, ambitious revision of the MFF regulation and its annex as soon as possible and no later than the first quarter of 2023. Members stressed the need for an upscaled MFF to ensure a stronger and **more agile EU**

**budget** which meets the highest standards of **transparency and democratic accountability**. They request therefore, an **increase in the MFF ceilings**, as well as an increase in and redesign of budgetary flexibility.

### ***Heading 1: Single Market, Innovation and Digital***

Members called for an increase in the ceiling of Heading 1 on the grounds that the margins are insufficient to accommodate the greater needs and because it opposes the use of agreed programme envelopes to finance new initiatives. They emphasised the key role that funding under Horizon Europe, Digital Europe, the Single Market Programme and the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) play in stimulating innovation, supporting businesses and driving the green and digital transitions.

They look forward to the Commission's proposal for the new European Sovereignty Fund intended to secure the **strategic autonomy** of the Union and reduce dependence on non-EU countries in key sectors.

### ***Heading 2a: Cohesion***

Members are concerned that cohesion policy is increasingly being used to reinforce other policies and to make up for shortcomings in budgetary flexibility or crisis response mechanisms in the MFF. They consider that the existing possibility of transfers from the cohesion policy funds to other programmes of up to 5 % of the initial allocation provides for sufficient flexibility.

It is stressed that the MFF revision must not lead to any downwards revision of the pre-allocated national envelopes.

### ***Heading 2b: Resilience and Values***

The committee called for the budget line dedicated to the repayment of EURI borrowing costs to be removed from Heading 2b and placed outside the headings, and for those costs to be counted over and above the MFF ceilings. It regretted that the costs of EURI borrowing and the repayment of debt have been included as a budget line under Heading 2b for the 2021-2027 period, alongside flagship programmes such as Erasmus+, EU4Health, Creative Europe and Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values.

Members stressed the vital importance of the EU4Health programme and of the Union education, culture, youth and values programmes in supporting the relevant sectors in the wake of the pandemic and combatting disinformation and emphasised that the European Social Fund+ is one of the key drivers for strengthening the social dimension of the Union. They also regretted that Erasmus+, as a programme with relatively stable year-on-year demand, has a heavily backloaded financial profile in the current MFF.

### ***Heading 3: Natural Resources and Environment***

Members called for the ceiling of Heading 3 to be adjusted as soon as possible to fully accommodate the Social Climate Fund (SCF), while agreed financing under Heading 3 should not be undermined and be preserved for its intended purpose.

### ***Heading 4: Migration and Border Management***

According to Members, this heading should be increased to reflect current reality and the real financing needs of the Union's migration and border management policies and programmes. The war against Ukraine and the subsequent decision to trigger the Temporary Protection Directive will entail a longer-term financial commitment to support Member States, placing unexpected demands on the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF), the Border Management and Visa Instrument (BMVI) and creating additional responsibilities for the decentralised agencies in Heading 4.

### ***Heading 5: Security and Defence***

There is a call for an increase in the ceiling of this heading to enhance defence cooperation and investment. The need for investment is essential to strengthen security policy and finance technological innovation in a constantly evolving security landscape.

### ***Heading 6: Neighbourhood and the World***

Members called for an increase in the ceiling of Heading 6 to fully cover current and projected future needs in the Union's external action and to create sufficient capacity to respond to crises and emerging needs. They deplored that, even prior to the war against Ukraine, funds available under Heading 6 were inadequate and that pressure on this heading has since increased substantially.

The committee underlined the importance of providing further support to Ukraine through MFA and highlighted that a far higher rate of provisioning than the standard 9 % is required for loans to Ukraine owing to the increased risk of default.

The Commission is also called on to ensure the Union lives up to its international climate commitments and in particular to provide funding to international climate finance and the relevant programmes under NDICI-Global Europe.

### ***Adoption of the MFF***

Members underlined that the unanimity requirement for adoption of the MFF Regulation impedes the necessary decisions in the revision process. They consider that the ordinary legislative procedure should apply to the adoption of the MFF Regulation and to the Own Resources Decision so that Parliament acquires the **full budgetary prerogatives** enjoyed by national parliaments.

Lastly, Parliament called on the European Council to activate it to **expedite decision-making**.